## CPC5

www.cpcstrans.com



## **Eight County Freight Study**

Iowa DOT Transportation Meeting

December 14, 2017 Dubuque, IA

#### **Project Sponsors**





























JO DAVIESS COUNTY.

ASSOCIATION



#### **Presentation Map**

#### **The Eight County Freight Study**

- Work Plan
- Schedule and Status

#### Key Outcomes and Information to be Delivered

#### Next Steps



#### **Project Motivations**

- Inconsistent data across freight modes
- Understand link between freight transportation system and local economy
- Be aware freight system needs and opportunities
- Incorporate freight in local transportation planning decisions

#### **Project Objective**

To develop a better understanding of the multimodal freight system in the bistate region and to use this information to better inform policy and programming decisions in the region.



### Eight County Freight Study



tions for growing economies

December 2017



#### **Key Tasks**

- Physical System Inventory
- Commodity Flow Profile
- Freight System Needs Assessment
- Freight System
  Recommendations & Benefits
  Evaluation
- Stakeholder Outreach

#### Work Plan Overview

We are

		Months												
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Project Inception														
Task 0.1 - Kick-Off Meeting														
Task 0.2 - Literature Review and Initial Data Collection														
Task 0.3 - Project Management & revise Work Plan, as needed														
Task 1 - Data Collection and Inventory		<u>.</u>		<u></u>										
Task 1.1 - Physical Profile		Į	Į				[							
Task 1.2 - Operational Profile														
Task 1.3 - Stakeholder Consultations & 6 Council Meetings														
Task 2 - Needs Assessment and Analysis		ļ												
Task 2.1 - Freight System Performance Measures				L			Į							L
Task 2.2 - Existing and Future Commodity Flow Assessment														
Task 2.3 - Freight Modal Profiles and Needs Assessment Report				ļ			ļ							
Task 3 - Study Recommendations														
Task 3.1 - Freight System Infrastructure Projects				ļ										
Task 3.2 - Project Evaluation and Prioritization														
Task 3.3 - Supporting Freight System Strategies														
Task 4 - Reporting		ļ				ļ								
Task 4.1 - Draft Final Report		ļ	Į			ļ	ļ			Į				L
Task 4.2 - Final Report														



Major Task Duration

Work Activity

Meeting



#### The Eight County Freight Study

**Key Outcomes and Information to be Delivered** 

- Primary questions to be answered
- Datasets and tools to be delivered

#### Next Steps



### Questions the Eight County Freight Study Can Answer

- 1. What are the Region's freight system assets?
- 2. What goods use the Regional freight system and how?
- 3. What transportation connections are most critical for the Region's economy?
- 4. What is the cost of using the Regional freight system?
- 5. What recommendations will enhance the Region's competitiveness?



## What are the Region's freight system assets?

#### Why is this question important?

- This is the backbone of your Regional economy.
  - Key industries
  - Key facilities
  - Physical system



#### A Freight-Dependent Economy



Source: CPCS Analysis of ReferenceUSA, 2016

#### Freight-Related Employment Concentration



### Freight-Related Employment

NAICS	Firms with 20-49 Employees	Firms with 50-99 Employees	Firms with 100+ Employees
(11) Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, and Hunting	3	2	1
(21) Mining, Quarrying, Oil and Gas Extraction	5	2	2
(22) Utilities	5	0	5
(23) Construction	87	12	24
(31-33) Manufacturing	144	49	92
(42) Wholesale Trade	69	24	117
(44-45) Retail Trade	191	44	52
(48-49) Transportation and Warehousing	81	16	10

Source: CPCS Analysis of ReferenceUSA, 2016



## What the Region does Better (Location Quotient)

Industry	Carroll	Clinton	Delaware	Dubuque	Jackson	Jo Daviess	Stephenson	Whiteside
(11) Agriculture	ND	ND	1.58	ND	1.97	ND	2.66	ND
(21) Mining, Quarrying, Oil and Gas Extraction	ND	ND	NC	ND	NC	ND	NC	ND
(22) Utilities	ND	1.11	ND	0.66	ND	ND	ND	0.33
(23) Construction	0.9	0.9	1.25	0.86	0.97	1.3	1.36	0.6
(31-33) Manufacturing	2.13	2.28	3.18	1.68	1.65	1.6	2.3	2.02
(42) Wholesale trade	2.15	0.5	1.9	1.16	1.33	ND	0.67	0.96
(44-45) Retail trade	1.24	0.98	0.95	0.98	1.35	1.14	0.89	1.16
(48-49) Transportation, Warehousing	ND	ND	ND	2.07	1.17	ND	1.06	ND

Source: CPCS Analysis of Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2015

ND indicates that a quotient is not disclosable, and NC indicates quotients that could not be calculated.



#### Multimodal Freight Transportation System

**IOWA** WISCONSIN Monroe Dubuque Manchester DUBUQU JO DAVIESS COUNTY DELAWARE COUNTY Freepor 151 STEPHENSON COUNTY JACKSON COUNTY Mount Carroll The Region's Maquoketa transportation assets are CARROLL COUNTY Ν aligned for the efficient movement of bulk goods. CLINTON COUNTY Clinton Morrison 30 30 30 Sterlin **31** specific facilities WHITESIDE available that can transfer 12.5 25 goods between modes

67

# What goods use the Regional freight system and how?

#### Why is this question important?

- This provides greater insight on your Regional economy.
  - The size of your economy.
  - The industrial niches that are most important to the Region.
  - The role the transportation system serves in the economy.



#### Eight County Tons and Value by Direction of Trade

# The Region has fairly "balanced" flows with little internal trade



#### Eight County Tons and Value by Mode

#### Trucks represent 73% of tonnage and 82% of value, indicating trucks are used to carry higher-value, lower weight manufactured goods



### Eight County Tons and Value by Commodity

#### Top tonnage and value commodities are linked to the Region's key industries – manufacturing and agriculture



# What transportation connections are most critical for the Region's economy?

#### Why is this question important?

- This articulates the connections critical to your Regional economy.
  - Other regions
  - Trade lanes
  - Modes used



#### Eight County Proximity



#### Trip Ends by Analysis Zone



Source: ATRI FPM Program, American Transportation Research Institute, 2017

#### Trip Ends by Analysis Zone (indexed by sq. miles/zone)



Source: ATRI FPM Program, American Transportation Research Institute, 2017

#### Within a 1-day truck drive from the Region...





growing economies

#### Within a 2-day truck drive from the Region...



Solutions for growing economies

#### Within a 3-day truck drive from the Region...



Solutions for growing economies

## Example of Cereal Grains Tonnage Flows by Mode













(Both Directions), 2014

Source: WSP Analysis of FHWA Freight Analysis Framework version 4 (FAF4) data. Preliminary.

# What is the cost of using the Regional freight system?

#### Why is this question important?

• This informs the competiveness of the services provided in the Region.



#### Eight County Modal Usage

#### High reliance on truck and rail, low reliance on water

	Eight County Region 2014 Tonnage Share	US Total Tonnage Share (excluding Air, Pipeline, Other)	Eight County "Modal Quotient"
Truck	73.3%	79.6%	0.92
Rail	23.0%	12.4%	1.85
Multiple	2.7%	3.1%	0.88
Water	1.1%	5.0%	0.21



#### **Transportation Cost Results**

#### The Eight County Region "freight bill" can be estimated at roughly \$2 billion per year

	Rate per	Ton-Mile	Ton-Miles, 2014	Estimated T	ran	sportation Cost
Truck	\$	0.108	13,056,538,943		\$	1,410,106,206
Rail	\$	0.083	6,159,485,019		\$	511,237,257
Multiple	\$	0.097	1,012,159,822		\$	98,179,503
Water	\$	0.050	385,064,490		\$	19,253,224
			Total	\$	2	2,038,776,190



# What recommendations will enhance the Region's competitiveness?

#### Why is this question important?

 A freight plan goal is to <u>increase</u> freight system safety, speed, reliability, and modal availability, and to <u>decrease</u> cost.



#### Freight System Needs Assessment



#### Safety: Truck Crashes per Mile



growing economies

### Safety: The Cost of Crashes in the Region

#### KABCO codes are assigned to crashes based on maximum level of injury.

Code	Definition	Associated Cost
К	Fatality	\$4,008,900
А	Disabling Injury – Hospitalization required	\$216,000
В	Evident Injury – Scrapes and bruises, no hospitalization required. "Can walk away."	\$79,000
С	Possible Injury – No visible injury, but complaints of pain	\$44,900
0	Property Damage Only	\$7,400

Source: Highway Safety Manual, First Edition, Draft 3.1. April 2009.



### Safety: Areas of Greatest Truck Crash Cost/Severity



### Safety: Overlap with Previously ID'd Projects



#### Safety: Gaps in Projects



#### Project Gaps Shown with Safety and Congestion Data



## Freight Study Recommendations

#### Projects

- Spot highway improvements to address congestion and safety
- Pavement improvements
- Bridge improvements
- New/improved intermodal and/or port facilities
- Transload/consolidation facilities
- Lock and dam improvements

#### The Eight County Freight Study

Key Outcomes and Information to be Delivered

#### **Next Steps**

- Benefit Cost Analyses
- Formalizing Recommendations



- Formalize list of project recommendations
- Conduct benefit-cost analysis on select project types
- Coordinate with public and private sector stakeholders to vet and validate full slate of strategic recommendations
- Develop final Eight County Freight Study and tools



**Evaluate 3 Projects using BCA model from recent TIGER/INFRA grant solicitation** 

- Road safety improvements to US 20
- Water high-value, oversized manufactured goods port development
- Rail Improved rail link to Cedar Rapids facility?

Feed results into state plans and state/federal grant programs



## Thank You



Erika Witzke, PE Project Manager ewitzke@cpcstrans.com



Solutions for growing economies

## Gap Areas for Safety / Potential Project Locations

Highway	Area from Maps	Location Specifically Mentioned in Outreach?
US-20	Farley to Dyersville	No (US-20 mentioned as a need)
US-20	Mississippi River to N Cascade Road	No (US-20 mentioned as a need)
US-20	Menominee Road to E. Galena	No (US-20 mentioned as a need)
US-20	Tapley Woods east to IL-84 Junction	No (US-20 mentioned as a need)
US-20	Woodbine to Canyon Park Road	No (US-20 mentioned as a need)
US-20	County Hwy 6 to Business 20 Junction	No (US-20 mentioned as a need)
US-20	West of Freeport	No (US-20 mentioned as a need)
US-30	Grand Mound to US-61	No (US-30 mentioned as a need)
US-30	IL-136 to IL-78	No (US-30mentioned as a need)
US-30/US-67	Clinton	Yes (US-30mentioned as a need)
IL-84	Rush Road to Savanna	No
US-52	Mount Carroll to Lanark	No
I-88	IL-78 to Lincoln Road	No
IL-75	Dakota to Rock City	No



### Count of US 20 Crashes



- Between 2010 and 2015:
  - US 20 had 2,534 crashes in total. 44% in Illinois, 56% in Iowa.
  - 324 (13%) of these crashes were truck-involved.
  - 160 (49%) of truck-involved crashes occurred in Illinois. 164 in Iowa.



## Cost of US 20 Crashes



- Between 2010 and 2015:
  - US 20 total crash cost exceeded \$148.5 million. 75% in IL, 25% in IA.
  - Truck involved crashes cost \$31.8 million (21%).
  - Illinois had 73% of truck crash costs (\$23m).



#### Previously Identified Project Overlaps Shown with Safety and Congestion Data / Needs



### Stakeholder Insights

- Information Gathering
  - EDC stakeholder meetings
  - Consultant team one-on-ones
  - Survey Monkey online questionnaire
  - Steering Committee feedback

Stakeholder insights (qualitative data) will be compared against the performance assessment (quantitative data)



#### Industry Survey – Response Update



#### 63 company responses



#### **Industries Respresented**



#### Industry Survey – Transportation System Performance







#### Industry Survey – Transportation System Performance

#### "Top 3" Transportation Improvements to Help Competitiveness





## What do we learn?

- Benefits of freight improvements
  - Improvements in supply chain performance -- cost, speed, reliability, etc. – compared to without-project conditions
  - Performance and cost data to help define/fine-tune projects
  - Support discretionary grant applications
- Benefit-cost analysis typically does not include economic impact evaluation (jobs, wages, taxes, etc.) or neutral "transfers" of benefits across regions or facilities



## Benefit Cost Analysis Guidance

### **Recent USDOT guidance for INFRA and TIGER**

- Costs and monetized benefits calculated annually over longterm (20-30 years) and discounted to present value at 7% and 3%; BCR is the ratio of discounted benefits to discounted costs
- Primary benefit categories
  - 1. State of good repair (pavement damage, etc.)
  - 2. Economic competitiveness (transportation cost, land value)
  - 3. Livability (congestion reduction, etc.)
  - 4. Sustainability (emissions reduction, etc.)
  - 5. Safety (crash reduction, etc.)
- New provisions
  - Reduced value for modal diversion projects
  - No recommended federal value for marginal social cost of carbon
  - Increased rigor in modeling congestion and safety improvements



52