

TRANSPORTATION **I**MPROVEMENT **P**ROGRAM

FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEARS

2019 - 2022

ECIA **REGIONAL PLANNING AFFILIATION 8**

Final: July 26th, 2018

PREPARED BY

EAST CENTRAL INTERGOVERNMENTAL ASSOCIATION

7600 Commerce Park, Dubuque, Iowa 52002

563.556.4166, FAX 563.556.0348

Disclaimer

The preparation of this document was financed in part through funds provided by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration.

CAVEAT

The contents of this document reflect information given to ECIA by the various implementing agencies. This document does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

This Page
Intentionally Left
Blank

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION.....	4
RPA MEMBERSHIP AND GOVERNANCE	4
MAP OF REGIONAL PLANNING AFFILIATION (RPA8)	6
RPA ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT	7
RPA POLICY BOARD	7
RPA POLICY BOARD:.....	7
RPA 8 TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE:	8
RPA OVERALL GOAL.....	9
MAJOR PROJECTS AND CONCERNS WITHIN THE RPA REGION	9
ANNUAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	9
THE 2015 FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION ACT (FAST ACT) SETS OUT THE FOLLOWING GUIDELINES FOR PLANNING:	11
STATUS OF TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS PROGRAMMED IN FFY 2018.....	12
FUTURE PROJECTIONS OF MAINTENANCE COSTS ON FEDERAL AID ROUTES FOR MEMBER AGENCIES	17
FINANACE.....	20
OVERALL PROGRAM FUNDING	31
RPA 8 TIP TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS FOR FFY 2019 - 2022	32
RPA 8 TIP TRANSIT PROJECTS FOR FFY 2019 - 2022.....	49
RPA 8 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROGRAM.....	55
RESOLUTION	59
APPENDIX D	60
APPENDIX E.....	70

Abbreviations

AVL	Automatic Vehicle Locator
BRP	Bridge Replacement Program
BROS	Bridge Replacement Off-System
BRS	Bridge Replacement On System
DMATS	Dubuque Metropolitan Area Transportation Study
ECIA	East Central Intergovernmental Association
ESP	Economic Stimulus Project
FFY	Federal Fiscal Year
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FM	Farm-to-Market
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
GO BONDS	General Obligation Bonds
HD Bus	Heavy Duty Bus
IBRCP	Innovative Bridge Research & Construction Program
Iowa DOT	Iowa Department of Transportation
ITS	Intelligent Transportation Systems
ISTEA	Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991
JARC	Job Access/Reverse Commute
LD Bus	Light Duty Bus
LOST	Local Option Sales Tax
LRTP	Long Range Transportation Plan
NHS	National Highway System
RISE	Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (IOWA DOT Grant/Loan Program)
ROW	Right of Way
RPA	Regional Planning Affiliation
RUTF	Road Use Tax Fund
Sec 3	FTA discretionary capital funds
Sec 18	FTA operating, capital, and planning funds
Sec 16	FTA capital and operating funds for non-profits
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant Program

STA	State Transit Assistance
STP	Surface Transportation Program
TA	Transportation Alternative
TAC	Technical Advisory Committee
TANF	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
TEA-21	Transportation Equity Act for the 21 st Century
TIP	Transportation Improvement Program
TPWP	Transportation Planning Work Program

Introduction

The Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2019-2022 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the East Central Intergovernmental Association Regional Planning Affiliation (RPA) is a four-year listing of federal aid eligible transportation projects selected by the various governmental agencies and by Regional Planning Affiliation (RPA) Policy Board for implementation. All transportation projects in the RPA Region using federal funds are to be included in the TIP. The TIP is prepared annually for the RPA Policy Board with input from the Technical Advisory Committee, Iowa Department of Transportation, and the general public. Prioritization of projects within a project year is determined by the implementing agencies. Prioritization of Long Range Transportation Plan projects on a year-to-year basis will be done by the RPA Policy Board in cooperation with the implementing agency.

RPA Membership and Governance


The East Central Intergovernmental Association Regional Planning Affiliation (RPA) membership is made up of 56 local cities and counties in a four-county area in eastern Iowa. All member jurisdictions have signed a 28E agreement to conduct transportation planning and the programming of federal transportation funds as determined by the Iowa Department of Transportation. The Dubuque Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (DMATS) area in greater Dubuque is excluded from the RPA because it is a separate transportation planning area. The DMATS region includes the Cities of Dubuque, Asbury, Sageville, Peosta, Centralia and Durango, as well as portions of Dubuque County.

The ECIA RPA is staffed by the East Central Intergovernmental Association, which has no formal membership on either the RPA Policy Board or the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC). At their request, the Iowa Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) are only advisory members of the RPA Policy Board and Tech advisory committee.

The 56-member local jurisdictions include four counties and four urban areas (population greater than 5,000). The four urban areas are the Cities of Clinton, DeWitt, Manchester, and Maquoketa. The total members of the ECIA RPA include:

<u>CLINTON</u>	<u>DELAWARE</u>	<u>DUBUQUE</u>	<u>JACKSON</u>
Clinton County	Delaware County	Dubuque County	Jackson County
Andover	Colesburg	Balltown	Andrew
Calamus	Delaware	Bankston	Baldwin
Camanche	Delhi	Bernard	Bellevue
Charlotte	Dundee	Cascade	LaMotte
Clinton	Earlville	Dyersville	Maquoketa
Delmar	Edgewood	Epworth	Miles
DeWitt	Greeley	Farley	Monmouth
Goose Lake	Hopkinton	Graf	Preston
Grand Mound	Manchester	Holy Cross	St. Donatus
Lost Nation	Masonville	Luxemburg	Sabula
Low Moor	Ryan	New Vienna	Spragueville
Toronto		Rickardsville	Springbrook
Welton		Sherrill	
Wheatland		Worthington	
		Zwingle	

MAP of Regional Planning Affiliation (RPA8)



RPA Organization and Management

The East Central Intergovernmental Association Regional Planning Affiliation was established on February 2, 1994 through the adoption of Articles of Agreement by the participating organizations in the region. It is one of the 18 RPA's in the state that were formed as part of the Iowa Department of Transportation's implementation of Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA), particularly in regard to meeting the statewide planning and programming aspects of the legislation.

This cooperative, comprehensive, and continuing transportation planning process was established by an agreement between the state and local governments in compliance with the provisions of the ISTEA. The planning process is implemented through a committee structure. Committees forward their recommendations to the Policy Board for consideration and final action. At this time, the only standing committee is the Technical Advisory Committee, which was formed by the Policy Board at its first meeting on February 2, 1994. The Policy Board and Technical Advisory Committee's responsibilities are summarized below:

RPA Policy Board

The ECIA RPA is governed by a Board of twelve elected officials from the member jurisdictions. The Policy Board is responsible for establishing overall policy, making decisions related to transportation planning and project funding priorities, and monitoring the direction of studies of transportation conditions in the region. There is a Board member from each of the four counties, and a Board member from each of the four large urban areas. The remaining four Board members are from a non-urban city in each of the four counties which are elected by caucus every two years.

RPA Policy Board:

Clinton County		
Tom Determann (Supervisor) <i>Clinton County</i>	Mark Vulich <i>City of Clinton</i> Don Thiltgen (Chair) <i>(Mayor, City of DeWitt)</i>	Andrew Kida (City Administrator) <i>City of Camanche</i>
Delaware County		
Jeff Madlom (Supervisor)	Milt Kramer (Vice Chair)	Linda Gaul

<i>Delaware County</i>	<i>(Mayor, City of Manchester)</i>	<i>Delaware County</i>
Dubuque County		
Daryl Klein (Supervisor)	Shirley Helmsrich (Supervisor)	Mick Michel
<i>Dubuque County</i>	<i>RTA</i>	<i>City of Dyersville</i>
Jackson County		
Jack Willey (Supervisor)	Don Schwenker (Mayor)	Paul Konrardy (Mayor)
	<i>City of Maquoketa</i>	<i>City of LaMotte</i>
Ex officio (non-voting members)		
Mokhtee Ahmad (Admin)	Stu Anderson (Plng. & Prog)	Karen Bobo (Admin)
<i>FTA Reg. VII</i>	<i>Iowa DOT</i>	<i>FHWA, Iowa Div.</i>
<i>(Proxy Daniel Nguyen)</i>	<i>(Proxy Sam Shea)</i>	<i>(Proxy Darla Hugaboom)</i>

RPA 8 Technical Advisory Committee:

The Technical Advisory Committee consists of professional staff persons from the RPA member jurisdictions. The Technical Committee reviews, studies, and makes recommendations to the Policy Board related to technical issues affecting spending and study priorities.

COUNTIES	URBAN AREAS	Transit
Clinton County		
Todd Kinney, Chair	Jason Craft	Randy Zobrist
<i>County Engineer</i>	<i>City Engineer, Clinton</i>	<i>Executive Director, River Bend</i>
	Steve Lindner	Dennis Hart
	<i>City Administrator, DeWitt</i>	<i>Director, MTA</i>
Delaware County		
Anthony Bardgett	Timothy J. Vick, Vice Chair	
<i>County Engineer</i>	<i>City Manager, Manchester</i>	
Dubuque County		
Anthony Bardgett		Lori McKinley
<i>County Engineer</i>		<i>Director, RTA</i>
Jackson County		
Clark Schloz	Gerald Smith	
<i>County Engineer</i>	<i>City Manager, Maquoketa</i>	
Ex officio (non-voting members)		
Sam Shea, Iowa DOT	Darla Hugaboom	Daniel Nguyen, FTA Reg. VII
<i>District Planner, District 6</i>	<i>FHWA Iowa Division</i>	<i>Community Planner</i>
Randy Barton, Program Manager		
<i>Office of Public Transit, Iowa DOT</i>		

RPA Overall Goal

The Goal of the ECIA Regional Planning Affiliation is to further develop the transportation system with a vision toward improving safety, promoting economic development and improving the quality of life within the RPA Region with consideration being given to the various levels of political sub-divisions and to alternative forms of transportation such as transit, bicycles, and pedestrians.

Major Projects and Concerns within the RPA Region

1. Implementation of the U.S. 30/67 Corridor Improvements in the City of Clinton.
2. To improve U.S. 30 to 4 lanes from DeWitt west to Mount Vernon. This entire route is now shown in the state's long range plan as a 4-lane roadway.
3. Mississippi River Trail.
4. Freight movement through the region.

Annual Transportation Improvement Program

RPA staff, is required to develop a transportation improvement program for the Regional Planning Affiliation 8 (RPA 8) and is further required to provide citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of transportation agency employees, other affected employee representatives, private providers of transportation, and other interested parties with a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed program during the process.

The TIP is to have a listing of projects that are scheduled to be carried out within a 4-year period of when they were initially adopted into the RPA 8 TIP. The TIP will include a financial explanation of the improvement projects that detail how each will be implemented as well as an indication of what public and private resources can be expected to be made available to complete the transportation improvement.

Before the RPA 8 Policy Committee can approve any transportation improvement, it will provide citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of transportation agency employees, private providers of transportation, and other interested parties with reasonable notice of and an opportunity to comment on the proposed improvement.

The TIP Process will include the following steps:

- **RPA 8 staff will start developing the TIP in month of February following rules and regulations adopted by the Iowa DOT.**
- After the draft TIP is finished, it will be released for a 30-day public review process in June. RPA 8 staff will inform the public of the draft TIP's availability by sending notice to the organizations on the RPA 8 public participation process list and by publishing legal notices in local newspapers and ECIA website. These notices will be printed 4 -20 days before the scheduled meeting.
- **The public hearing will be held with the RPA 8 Policy Board meeting in June. The meeting will be opened for public input during the process.**
- After the final TIP is finished, it will be released for a 30-day public review process in July. RPA staff will inform the public of the final TIP's availability by sending notice to the organizations on the RPA 8 public participation process list and by publishing legal notices in local newspapers and ECIA website. These notices will be published 4 -20 days before the scheduled meeting.
- A public hearing is set for 9:00 AM on July 26, 2018 at East Central Intergovernmental Association (ECIA), 7600 Commerce Park, Dubuque, Iowa. Its purpose is to receive comments on the FY 2019-2022 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the RPA 8 Area and to satisfy the Program of Projects (POP) public participation requirements of Regional Transit Authority 8 (RTA 8) and the City of Clinton, Municipal Transit Administration. Comments will be accepted through July 25, 2018. TIP information is available by contacting Chandra Ravada at (563)-556- 4166. Persons requiring special material or presentation format should contact Mr. Ravada on or before July 25, 2018.

The 2015 Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act) sets out the following guidelines for planning:

- Provide a transportation planning program addressing major highway, city street, county road, and transit system issues with emphasis on the requirements of the FAST ACT.
- Develop and implement a Long-Range Transportation Plan. Special emphasis should be placed on mainstreaming safety and maintenance of existing system in the Long-Range Transportation Plan and in the planning processes, which will result from the implementation of that plan.
- Stay current on efforts at the federal and state level to identify methods for streamlining the environmental process
- Assist local member jurisdictions and Iowa DOT in any corridor or subarea planning studies, which may be conducted.
- Provide support and technical assistance to local governments and particularly local transit agencies in the area of transportation system management.
- Develop strategies for transportation corridor preservation, road system continuity and spacing, and access control.
- Develop strategies for coordinating land use and transportation development.
- Maintenance of a program for increased citizen/public awareness and involvement in the transportation planning process. Compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act will be accomplished.
- Continuation of a transportation improvement programming process that provides for selecting and prioritizing projects based on objective planning criteria and funding capabilities.

Failure to consider any one of the areas is not reviewable in court.

Status of Transportation Improvement Projects programmed in FFY 2018


Regional Planning Affiliation 8 (FY 2018-2021 Final Transportation Improvement Program)														
FY 2018 (October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018)						IOWA	Programmed Amounts in 1,000					Project Status		
NO	Pgm	Sponsor	TPMS#	PN	Location	Type of Work	Local	Farm Market	Special	Federal Aid	SWAP	TOTAL	Status	
1	HSIP	DOT-D06-RPA08	36793	HSIPX--3()--3L-31	IA 3: TOLLGATE RD (CO RD Y13) TO E JCT PFEILER RD	Grade and Pave	\$ 1,001	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,008	\$ -	\$ 10,009	N/A	
2	NHPP	DOT-D06-RPA08	36690	NHSX--30()--3H-23	US 30: CO RD Y62 0.95 MI W OF US 61	Grade and Pave,Right of Way	\$ 563	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,252	\$ -	\$ 2,815	N/A	
3	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	36754	BRFN--30()--39-23	US 30: MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN CLINTON (STATE SHARE)	Bridge Rehabilitation	\$ 30	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 30	N/A	
4	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	36773	NHSN--3()--2R-31	IA 3: 1.0 MI NW OF DURANGO	Right of Way,Revetment	\$ 5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5	N/A	
5	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	36811	BRFN--52()--39-49	US 52: MISSISSIPPI RIVER OVERFLOW IN SUBULA	Bridge Replacement	\$ 5,483	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,483	N/A	
6	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	36812	BRFN--62()--39-49	IA 62: MILL CREEK 0.1 MI N OF CO RD D61	Bridge Deck Overlay	\$ 348	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 348	N/A	
7	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	29559	BRFN--62()--39-49	IA 62: LITTLE MILL CREEK 0.1 MI S OF CO RD D61	Bridge Deck Overlay	\$ 349	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 349	N/A	
8	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	33987	BRFN--20()--39-28	US 20: HATCHERY RD & SPRING BRANCH CR 2.8 MI W OF IA 38 (EB & WB)	Bridge Deck Overlay,Traffic Signs	\$ 1,211	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,211	N/A	
9	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	35530	BRFN--30()--39-23	US 30: MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN CLINTON (STATE SHARE)	Bridge Rehabilitation	\$ 20	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20	N/A	
10	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	35533	BRFN--136()--39-23	IA 136: MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN CLINTON (STATE SHARE)		\$ 20	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20	N/A	
11	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	35556	BRFN--52()--39-49	US 52: MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN SABULA (STATE SHARE)		\$ 15	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15	N/A	
12	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	36756	STPN--136()--2J-23	IA 136: CHARLOTTE TO US 61	Pavement Rehab	\$ 672	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 672	N/A	
13	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	36757	STPN--136()--2J-23	IA 136: US 61 TO LOST NATION	Pavement Rehab	\$ 550	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 550	N/A	
14	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	36814	STPN--62()--2J-49	IA 62: CO RD Y61 TO 1.0 MI W OF BELLEVUE	Pavement Rehab	\$ 300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 300	N/A	
15	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	36815	STPN--64()--2J-49	IA 64: US 61 TO IA 62 IN MAQUOKETA	Pavement Rehab	\$ 1,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,600	N/A	
16	STBG	DOT-D06-RPA08	36909	STP--3()--2C-31	IA 3: ECL OF LUXEMBURG TO TOLLGATE RD (CO RD Y13)	Grade and Pave,Right of Way	\$ 300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 300	N/A	
17	STBG	De Witt	34212	STP-U-1855(617)--70-23	In the city of De Witt, On S 6th Ave, from Lake St to S 5th St	Pavement Rehab	\$ 198	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 797	\$ -	\$ 995	Project got Let	
18	STBG	Maquoketa	9625	STP-U-4742(619)--70-49	On N 2nd from W Platt st to W Quarry st including 1/2 block of James Street east of N 2nd and James St intersection.	Pavement Rehab	\$ 225	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 900	\$ -	\$ 1,125	On Going	
19	STBG	RPA-08	478	RGPL-PA08(RTP)--ST-00	On ECIA Transportation Planning	Trans Planning	\$ 34	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 134	\$ -	\$ 168	On Going	
20	STBG	RPA-08	34304	RGPL-PA08()--ST-31	In Clinton, Delaware, Dubuque and Jackson Counties	Planning Study	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4	\$ -	\$ 5	Project got Let	
21	STBG-HBP	Jackson CRD	21843	BRS-C049(64)--60-49	On E29 (Division St), Over Beers Creek	Bridge Replacement	\$ -	\$ 180	\$ -	\$ 720	\$ -	\$ 900	Project got Let	
22	STBG-HBP	Jackson CRD	24842	BRS-C049(70)--60-49	On E17 (150th St), Over Jess Branch	Bridge Replacement	\$ -	\$ 160	\$ -	\$ 640	\$ -	\$ 800	Project got Let	
23	STBG-HBP	Delaware CRD	19147	BROS-C028()--8J-28	190TH AVE: From 165th Street to 150th Street	Bridge Replacement	\$ 110	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 440	\$ -	\$ 550	The project is dropped	
24	STBG-HBP	Delaware CRD	35917	BROS-C028(91)--8J-28	On 245th Avenue, in Ctr S9 T88N R4W	Bridge Replacement	\$ 70	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 280	\$ -	\$ 350	Project got Let	
25	STBG-HBP	Clinton CRD	32441	BRS-C023(108)--60-23	On Z36, Over SMALL STREAM, in S14 T82 RE5	Bridge Replacement	\$ -	\$ 84	\$ -	\$ 336	\$ -	\$ 420	Project got Let	
26	STBG-HBP	Clinton	29503	BROS-1415(628)--8J-23	In the city of Clinton, On W. Deer Creek Rd., Over Deer Creek	Bridge Replacement	\$ 180	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 720	\$ -	\$ 900	Project got Let	
27	STBG-TAP	Jackson CCB	37365	TAP-R-C049(75)--8T-49	On Hurstville Trail Phase 3, from Hurstville Interpretive Center to Hurstville Road	Ped/Bike Grade & Pave	\$ 49	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100	\$ -	\$ 149	Will be Let in FFY 19	
28	TAP	Dyersville	35630	TAP-R-2160(614)--8T-31	In the city of Dyersville, 3rd St. Southwest Bridge Trail Crossing over Bear Creek	Ped/Bike Paving	\$ 63	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 250	\$ -	\$ 313	Project will be Let in FFY 18	

RPA 8 Final FY 2018-2021 Transportation Improvement Program Map

4:28 PM, Wed, May 16, 2018

TPMS NUMBERS ON MAP CORRESPOND WITH TPMS NUMBERS ON SPREADSHEET

Prepared by ECIA 05-16-18
The preparation of this map was financed in part through federal funds provided by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, and/or Federal Transit Administration.



Generated by ICEASB Map Server (300 dpi)

Operations & Maintenance (O&M)

Current federal transportation law and regulations require that metropolitan transportation improvement programs include a financial plan that demonstrates how the TIP can be implemented; indicates resources from public and private sources that can be reasonably expected to be available to carry out the program. The process for demonstrating constraint of the TIP document is done by present forecasting revenues and programmed construction costs while considering the funding necessary to operate and maintain the existing federal aid highway system.

The anticipated available revenues and costs to implement the FFY 2019–2022 TIP are developed using City Street finance reports, County secondary road Operation & Maintenance reports, Iowa DOT Operation & Maintenance and funding reports provided by the Iowa DOT.

The RPA 8 Estimates of available revenue does include all sources of funds utilized to implement the construction projects programmed in the TIP. The IADOT has a set process to generate revenue estimates and these estimates are used in IADOT programming and does provide an assurance that all IADOT projects in RPA 8 TIP will be fiscally constrained. See below for IADOT revenue estimate process for Five-year Program.

Each year prior to development of the Iowa DOT's Five-Year Program and the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program both state and federal revenue forecasts are completed to determine the amount of funding available for programming. These forecasts are a critical component in the development of the Five-Year Program and as such are reviewed with the Iowa Transportation Commission. The primary sources of state funding to the DOT are the Primary Road Fund and TIME-21 Fund. These state funds are used for the operation, maintenance and construction of the Primary Road System. The amount of funding available for operations and maintenance are determined by legislative appropriations. Additional funding is set aside for statewide activities including engineering costs. The remaining funding is available for right of way and construction activities associated with the highway program.

Along with the state funds, the highway program utilizes a portion of the federal funds that are allocated to the state. A federal funding forecast is prepared each year based on the latest apportionment information available. This forecast includes the various federal programs and

identifies which funds are allocated to the Iowa DOT for programming and which funds are directed to locals through the MPO/RPA planning process, Highway Bridge Program and various grant programs. Implementation of a federal aid swap will increase the amount of federal funds that are utilized by the Iowa DOT.

The operations and maintenance costs within the RPA 8 area are analyzed into the following:

- O&M Costs on Federal-Aid Routes for Member Agencies
- Future projections of O&M Costs on Federal-Aid Routes for Member Agencies
- IADOT O&M Expenditures on Primary System
- Member Agencies nonfederal aid revenues
- Member Agencies nonfederal aid revenues projections

O&M Costs on Federal-Aid Routes for Member Agencies

The cities and counties report annually to IADOT on the revenues, operations and maintenance. The data from these reports are used to generate annual operating and maintenance costs. The O&M costs of members agencies to keep the system intact. Table below provides members annual nonfederal aid revenue for FY 2017.

O&M Costs on Federal-Aid Routes for Member Agencies

RPA 8 Members	On System Miles	Off System Miles	Per On Sys	Total Roadway Maintenance	Total Operations	Total Maintenance on Fed-Aid Routes	Total Operations on Fed-Aid Routes
CLINTON	38.12	121.87	0.24%	\$3,123,397	\$280,743	\$744,199	\$66,892
DEWITT	6.69	31.32	17.61%	\$675,619	\$73,445	\$118,963	\$12,932
MANCHESTER	9.09	27.36	24.93%	\$618,467	\$215,475	\$154,154	\$53,708
MAQUOKETA	9.20	28.08	24.60%	\$149,270	\$119,530	\$36,840	\$29,500
CLINTON COUNTY	341.68	670.96	33.74%	\$1,896,702	\$4,459,194	\$639,974	\$1,504,595
DELAWARE COUNTY	295.25	614.62	32.45%	\$1,757,832	\$4,188,515	\$570,412	\$1,359,163
DUBUQUE COUNTY	301.56	466.02	39.29%	\$3,367,038	\$4,426,999	\$1,322,821	\$1,739,251
JACKSON COUNTY	344.57	493.82	41.10%	\$2,165,161	\$3,147,775	\$889,862	\$1,293,708
TOTAL	1,346.16	2,454.04	35.42%	\$13,753,486	\$16,911,675	\$4,477,225	\$6,059,749

Source: 2017 City Street Finance Report - O&M costs, 2017 County Secondary Road O&M Data

Future projections of Operation Costs on Federal-Aid Routes for Member Agencies

The future projections of Operation costs on Federal-Aid Routes for Member Agencies are projected to Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 using 4% inflation for each year using FY 2017 operation costs as base year.

Table below provides the future projections of Operation Costs on Federal Aid Routes for Member agencies.

Future Projections of Operation Costs on Federal Aid Routes for Member agencies

RPA 8 Memebers	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
CLINTON	\$66,892	\$69,568	\$72,350	\$75,244	\$78,254	\$81,384
DEWITT	\$12,932	\$13,449	\$13,987	\$14,547	\$15,129	\$15,734
MANCHESTER	\$53,708	\$55,856	\$58,091	\$60,414	\$62,831	\$65,344
MAQUOKETA	\$29,500	\$30,680	\$31,907	\$33,183	\$34,511	\$35,891
CLINTON COUNTY	\$1,504,595	\$1,564,778	\$1,627,370	\$1,692,464	\$1,760,163	\$1,830,569
DELAWARE COUNTY	\$1,359,163	\$1,413,530	\$1,470,071	\$1,528,874	\$1,590,029	\$1,653,630
DUBUQUE COUNTY	\$1,739,251	\$1,808,821	\$1,881,174	\$1,956,421	\$2,034,678	\$2,116,065
JACKSON COUNTY	\$1,293,708	\$1,345,456	\$1,399,274	\$1,455,245	\$1,513,455	\$1,573,993
TOTAL	\$6,059,749	\$6,302,139	\$6,554,224	\$6,816,393	\$7,089,049	\$7,372,611

Source: RPA 8

Future projections of Maintenance Costs on Federal-Aid Routes for Member Agencies

The future projections of Maintenance costs on Federal-Aid Routes for Member Agencies are projected to Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 using 4% inflation for each year using FY 2017 operation costs as base year. Table below provides the future projections of Maintenance Costs on Federal Aid Routes for Member agencies.

Future Projections of Maintenance Costs on Federal Aid Routes for Member agencies

RPA 8 Memebers	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
CLINTON	\$744,199	\$773,967	\$804,926	\$837,123	\$870,608	\$905,432
DEWITT	\$118,963	\$123,722	\$128,670	\$133,817	\$139,170	\$144,737
MANCHESTER	\$154,154	\$160,320	\$166,733	\$173,402	\$180,338	\$187,552
MAQUOKETA	\$36,840	\$38,314	\$39,846	\$41,440	\$43,098	\$44,821
CLINTON COUNTY	\$639,974	\$665,573	\$692,196	\$719,884	\$748,679	\$778,626
DELAWARE COUNTY	\$570,412	\$593,229	\$616,958	\$641,636	\$667,302	\$693,994
DUBUQUE COUNTY	\$1,322,821	\$1,375,733	\$1,430,763	\$1,487,993	\$1,547,513	\$1,609,413
JACKSON COUNTY	\$889,862	\$925,457	\$962,475	\$1,000,974	\$1,041,013	\$1,082,653
TOTAL	\$4,477,225	\$4,656,314	\$4,842,566	\$5,036,269	\$5,237,720	\$5,447,229

Source: RPA 8

IADOT O&M Expenditures on Primary System

IADOT Operation & Maintenance costs on Primary System are provided by IADOT. This data provides the annual Operation & Maintenance costs that IADOT incur on primary system within the RPA 8 area. Table below provides the O&M expenditures on Primary system from FY 2019 to 2022.

O&M expenditures on Primary system from FY 2019 to 2022

RPA 8	2019	2020	2021	2022
RPA 8	\$824,300	\$862,639	\$891,272	\$920,148

Source: IADOT

Member Agencies nonfederal aid revenues

The cities and counties report annual to IADOT on the revenues, operations and maintenance. The data from these reports are used to generate annual nonfederal aid revenues. The nonfederal aid revenue provides the other source of funding that RPA 8 members use to operate and maintain the system. Table below provides members annual nonfederal aid revenue for FY 2017.

Member Agencies nonfederal aid revenues

RPA 8 Members (Cities)	Total RUTF Receipts	Total Other Road Monies Receipts	Total Receipts Service Debt	Total Non-Federal Road Fund Receipts
CLINTON	\$3,337,603	\$8,589,441	\$1,459,374	\$13,386,418
DEWITT	\$660,693	\$1,851,070	\$980,404	\$3,492,167
MANCHESTER	\$642,940	\$2,172,836	\$508,836	\$3,324,612
MAQUOKETA	\$762,366	\$474,426	\$25,205	\$1,261,997
TOTAL	\$5,403,602	\$13,087,773	\$2,973,819	\$21,465,194

RPA 8 Members (Counties)	CLINTON	DELAWARE	DUBUQUE	JACKSON
Property Tax	\$1,705,136	\$2,453,493	\$3,844,181	\$950,000
L.O.S.T.	\$1,014,720	\$951,627	\$4,109,514	\$676,623
RUTF	\$4,266,640	\$3,891,741	\$4,838,504	\$3,672,181
FM xfr Revenue	\$68,957	\$43,615	\$105,802	\$85,682
Time-21 Funds	\$440,453	\$382,578	\$480,213	\$366,057
RISE Runds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
BR Funds	\$227,165	\$0	\$0	\$2,396
FM Transfer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Tx Rfds/Crdts	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Misc. Recs.	\$249,818	\$236,620	\$198,362	\$61,097
Total	\$7,972,890	\$7,959,673	\$13,576,575	\$5,814,035

Source: IADOT

Member Agencies nonfederal aid revenues projections

The future projections of nonfederal aid revenues projections for Member Agencies are projected to Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 using 0% inflation for each year using FY 2017 revenues as base year. Table below provides the future nonfederal aid revenues projections for Member agencies.

Future nonfederal aid revenues projections for Member agencies

RPA 8 Members	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
CLINTON	\$26,772,836	\$26,772,836	\$26,772,836	\$26,772,836	\$26,772,836	\$26,772,836
DEWITT	\$6,984,334	\$6,984,334	\$6,984,334	\$6,984,334	\$6,984,334	\$6,984,334
MANCHESTER	\$6,649,224	\$6,649,224	\$6,649,224	\$6,649,224	\$6,649,224	\$6,649,224
MAQUOKETA	\$2,523,994	\$2,523,994	\$2,523,994	\$2,523,994	\$2,523,994	\$2,523,994
CLINTON CO	\$7,972,890	\$7,972,890	\$7,972,890	\$7,972,890	\$7,972,890	\$7,972,890
DELAWARE CO	\$7,959,673	\$7,959,673	\$7,959,673	\$7,959,673	\$7,959,673	\$7,959,673
DUBUQUE CO	\$13,576,575	\$13,576,575	\$13,576,575	\$13,576,575	\$13,576,575	\$13,576,575
JACKSON CO	\$5,814,035	\$5,814,035	\$5,814,035	\$5,814,035	\$5,814,035	\$5,814,035
TOTAL	\$78,253,561	\$78,253,561	\$78,253,561	\$78,253,561	\$78,253,561	\$78,253,561

Source: RPA 8

COMPARISONS ON OPERATION & MAINTENANCE AND REVENUES

The O&M projections and revenue projections for RPA 8 members are compared to analyses if sufficient funds are available for actives other than Operation & Maintenance. Table below provides the comparisons of O&M Projections and Revenue projections.

RPA 8 Members Comparison between O&M costs and Revenues

RPA 8 Members	2019	2020	2021	2022
Revenues	\$76,272,009	\$79,322,889	\$82,495,804	\$85,795,637
O&M Projections	\$4,855,128	\$5,049,333	\$5,251,306	\$5,461,359

Source: RPA 8

Iowa DOT Five Year Program Funding

IADOT FIVE YEAR PROGRAM	2019	2020	2021	2022
(\$ millions)				
Revenues				
Primary Road Fund	\$671.50	\$665.30	\$671.00	\$673.40
TIME-21	\$132.70	\$135.00	\$135.00	\$135.00
Miscellaneous	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$25.00
Federal Aid	\$357.20	\$365.70	\$365.70	\$365.70

Total	\$1,186.40	\$1,191.00	\$1,196.70	\$1,199.10
Allocations				
Operations & Maintenance	\$339.70	\$355.50	\$367.30	\$379.20
Consultant Services	\$80.00	\$80.00	\$80.00	\$80.00
Contract Maintenance	\$31.40	\$31.40	\$31.40	\$31.40
Railroad Crossing Protection	\$5.00	\$5.00	\$5.00	\$5.00
Miscellaneous Programs	\$34.80	\$34.80	\$34.80	\$34.80
Total	\$490.90	\$506.70	\$518.50	\$530.40
Funds Available For ROW/Construction	\$695.50	\$684.30	\$678.20	\$668.70

FINANACE

The IADOT requires the RPA 8 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) be fiscally constrained. One of the most challenging requirements of the long-range planning and programming process is inclusion of financial planning. The financial element of the Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) and the TIP ensure that the identified projects are prioritized according to reasonably expected financial resources.

ANNUAL ALLOCATION FEDERAL PROGRAMS

The DMATS receives Surface Transportation Block Grants (STBG), Transportation Alternative Program (TAP) funds and Metropolitan Planning Program (PL) from IADOT.

Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) Program

This program is designed to address specific issues identified by Congress and provides flexible funding for projects to preserve or improve the condition/performance of transportation facilities, including any federal-aid highways or public road bridges. STBG funding may be utilized on:

- Roadway projects on federal-aid routes
- Bridge projects on any public road
- Transit capital improvements

- TAP eligible activities
- Planning activities

IADOT STBG- Swap funds

Iowa targets STBG funding to each of its 27 MPOs and RPAs on an annual basis for programming based on regional priorities. Iowa has implemented a Swap program that allows MPOs and RPAs, at their discretion, to swap targeted federal STBG funding for state Primary Road Fund dollars.

Iowa also targets a portion of its STBG funding directly to counties for use on county bridge projects. Iowa's swap program allows counties, at their discretion, to swap federal STBG funding for state Primary Road Fund dollars. These funds can be used on either on-system or off-system bridges, however off-system bridge investments must be continued to maintain the ability to transfer the federal STBG set-aside for off-system bridges.

The Iowa DOT does not require matching funds be utilized on Swap projects. MPOs and RPAs can require that project applicants provide matching funds by awarding funding in an amount less than the estimated total project cost, however, the Iowa DOT will not monitor or reimburse those MPO/RPA specific matching requirements.

STBG-Swap funding has expanded eligibilities over STBG funding and can be awarded on roads with a federal functional classification of Minor Collector or higher in rural areas, all Farm-to-Market routes, and Collector or higher in urban areas. MPOs and RPAs can be more restrictive in their project selection process regarding system eligibility.

Adopted STBG -Swap Fund Distribution System

At the October 19, 1999 meeting, the RPA Policy Board adopted a distribution system for uncommitted STBG funds. In this system, the funds are distributed by a sub-allocation process. Each jurisdiction's share of the funds will be determined by the Census population. The cities of Clinton, Manchester, Maquoketa and Dewitt will receive a share based on the population within the incorporated limits of the city. The four counties will each receive a share based on the population of the unincorporated area plus the population of all cities in the county under 5,000 population. Jurisdictions eligible for STBG funds that do not receive a sub-allocation will have the opportunity to request funding from the county as follows:

1. Cities below 5,000 population, transit agencies can compete each year through an application process for 10% of the STBG funds set aside by RPA Policy board (Appendix D).
2. Transit agencies providing service within cities greater than 5,000 in population can request funds from the cities over 5,000 population.

Based on this distribution system, the eight eligible jurisdictions would receive the following shares of uncommitted STBG funds:

	Anticipated	Anticipated	Anticipated	Anticipated
	FFY2019	FFY2020	FFY2021	FFY2022
	Target(2)	Target(2)	Target(2)	Target(2)
	using 2010 census	using 2010 census	using 2010 census	using 2010 census
Per Capita				
Sub Allocations Before taking Funds from top	\$2,926,310	\$2,829,000	\$2,791,000	\$2,894,000
Pavement Management Program				
RPA Planning by ECIA Staff	-\$52,300	-\$52,300	-\$52,300	-\$52,300
Small cities (STP 10% + TAP Flex)	-\$390,642	-\$381,170	-\$387,670	-\$387,670
Sub allocation amount	\$2,483,368	\$2,395,530	\$2,454,030	\$2,454,030
Clinton County	\$398,796	\$384,691	\$394,085	\$394,085
Delaware County	\$296,816	\$286,317	\$293,309	\$293,309
Dubuque County	\$437,900	\$422,411	\$432,727	\$432,727
Jackson County	\$323,278	\$311,843	\$319,459	\$319,459
City of Clinton	\$634,079	\$611,651	\$626,588	\$626,588
City of DeWitt	\$125,519	\$121,079	\$124,036	\$124,036
City of Manchester	\$122,146	\$117,826	\$120,703	\$120,703
City of Maquoketa	\$144,835	\$139,712	\$143,124	\$143,124
Total suballocated	2,483,368	2,395,530	2,454,030	2,454,030

(1) FFY2019-2022 targets are based on the new regional area boundary and population for purposes of determining suballocated amounts.

Updated on 05/16/2018 by Chandra Ravada, ECIA

All project cost is developed using year of expenditure dollars. The RPA 8 use 4% inflation rate which is determined by RPA 8 technical board for year of expenditure costs.

DMATS Surface Transportation Program (STBG)-Swap Funds Status from Iowa DOT

Federal Fiscal Year	STP Target	TAP Flex	Target Programmed	Un-Programmed
---------------------	------------	----------	-------------------	---------------

				Balance
FY 2018 Q2*				\$9,681,209
2019	\$2,811,598	\$114,712	\$5,476,300	\$7,131,219
2020	\$2,714,000	\$115,000	\$5,557,347	\$4,402,872
2021	\$2,676,000	\$115,000	\$1,780,300	\$5,413,572
2022	\$2,779,000	\$115,000	\$5,058,307	\$3,249,265
*Balance has been adjusted based on project changes occurring since the most recent Qrtly report				

	FFY2019	FFY2020	FFY2021	FFY2022	TOTAL
ECIA					
RPA Planning	\$52,300	\$52,300	\$52,300	\$52,300	\$209,200
Clinton County					
Z-34	\$1,400,000				\$1,400,000
Z-30		\$1,100,000			\$1,100,000
City of Clinton					
Manufacturing drive from Bluff Blvd to Rail road tracks				\$3,256,007	\$3,256,007
City of DeWitt					
S 6th Ave from S 5th St to City Limits		\$468,297			\$468,297
Delaware County					
On Robinson Road, from Linn County Line North to 220th Street		\$2,413,750			\$2,413,750
Jackson County					
Z34			\$450,000		\$450,000
Z20			\$975,000		\$975,000
Dubuque County					
Tollgate Rd	\$1,750,000				\$1,750,000
Ridge Road	\$1,750,000				\$1,750,000
Higginsport Road				\$1,750,000	
City of Maquoketa					
W Quarry St from N 2nd Street to N Vermont		\$723,000			\$723,000
City of Manchester					
HWY 13 from Intersection of HWY 13 and West Main St to Intersection of HWY 13 and Acres St		\$800,000			\$800,000
Small City Projects					

X 49 and 1st Avenue West Intersection	\$264,000				\$264,000
1st Avenue West Bridge Deck Overlay	\$260,000				\$260,000
9th Ave			\$303,000		\$303,000
Total	\$5,476,300	\$5,557,347	\$1,780,300	\$5,058,307	\$16,122,254

Note: The Local STBG Swap balance numbers will not match with overall program funds numbers as STBG projects from IADOT will not be consider in RPA 8 STBG Swap funds.

Transportation Alternative Program (TAP)

Iowa’s Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) is a new iteration of the program that was included in, Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21), a transportation authorization act which was in effect from 2013 to 2015. The MAP-21 program redefined the former Transportation Enhancements (TE) activities and consolidated these eligibilities with the former Safe Routes to School (SRTS) program, Recreational Trails Program (RTP) and some types of projects that were previously funded through the discretionary National Scenic Byways (NSB) program which were all originally authorized under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA) and continued through two successive laws, TEA-21 and SAFETEA-LU. The most recent transportation authorization act, Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, was enacted in 2015. This act placed further restrictions on the selection of projects for funding under the federal TAP program structure which has led Iowa to implement a modified version of the federal program. Iowa Implementation.

On September 13, 2016, the Iowa Transportation Commission endorsed continuing to allow Iowa’s Transportation Management Areas (TMAs), which include the Iowa portions of the metropolitan planning areas surrounding Davenport, Des Moines, and Council Bluffs; Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs); and Regional Planning Affiliations (RPAs) to select the vast majority of projects eligible for this program through a regionally administered selection process. Under previous transportation authorization acts and in support of the state’s history of encouraging regional planning through these organizations, a majority of funding is distributed to the TMAs, MPOs, and RPAs to directly select projects locally. With the goal of distributing funding as equitably as possible across the state, the Iowa DOT retains \$1 million annually to administer a Statewide TAP program, geared toward statewide or multi-regional projects, while targeting the remaining funding to the TMAs, MPOs, and RPAs through the Local Projects TAP program.

The RPA 8 invites local jurisdictions to submit projects for TAP funds. Staff ranks the projects using a ranking process established by RPA 8 Board and will submit the project ranking list to the RPA 8 Board for their final approval (Appendix E).

RPA 8 Transportation Alternative Program (TAP) Funds Status

Federal Fiscal Year	TAP	Target Programmed	Un-Programmed Balance
FY 2018 Q2*			\$226,655
2018		\$250,000	-\$23,345
2019	\$149,000	\$450,000	-\$324,345
2020	\$149,000	\$122,655	-\$298,000
2021	\$149,000	\$0	-\$149,000
2022	\$149,000	\$0	\$0

*Balance has been adjusted based on project changes occurring since the most recent Qrtly report

Projects Programmed in TIP & STIP FFY 2019-2022					
	FFY2018	FFY2019	FFY2020	FFY2021	FFY2022
3rd St Southwest Bridge Trail crossing	\$250,000				
Rivervue Trail Extension to Spruce Creek County Park		\$100,000			
Hurstville Trail - Phase 3 - 63rd Street Portion		\$100,000			
Dyersville Park Connection		\$250,000			
Ball Park Recreational trail			\$122,655		
Total	\$250,000	\$450,000	\$122,655	\$0	\$0

FEDERAL PROGRAMS

Projects identified in local TIPs utilize a number of different sources of federal funding. While some FHWA funds are distributed by statutory formulas, other funds are “discretionary” (congressionally earmarked). The primary sources of FHWA funding to Iowa, which are in part used to fund local efforts, include:

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) – CMAQ provides flexible funding for transportation projects and programs tasked with helping to meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act. These projects can include those that reduce congestion and improve air quality.

Demonstration funding (DEMO) - Demonstration funding is a combination of different programs and sources. The FHWA administers discretionary programs through various offices representing special funding categories. An appropriation bill providing money to a discretionary program, through special congressionally directed appropriations, or through legislative acts such as the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA).

Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) - This is a core federal-aid program that funds projects with the goal of achieving a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on public roads. Portions of these funds are set aside for use on high-risk rural roads and railway-highway crossings.

Metropolitan Planning Program (PL). FHWA provides funding for this program to the State of Iowa based on urbanized area population. The funds are dedicated to support transportation planning efforts in urbanized areas with a population of 50,000 or greater. For programming purposes MPOs should program only the new PL target provided by the Office of Systems Planning. Any carryover funds identified by Systems Planning need not be added to, or subtracted from, the PL target.

National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) - NHPP funds are available to be used on projects that improve the condition and performance of the National Highway System (NHS) including some state and U.S. highways and interstates.

STBG Bridge Program (STBG-HBP) in Iowa – While the Highway Bridge Program was eliminated in MAP-21 a portion of Iowa’s STBG will continue to be targeted directly to counties and dedicated specifically to county bridge projects. A portion of these funds are required to be obligated on off-system bridges. The remaining funds can be used on either on or off system bridges.

Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) - The FAST Act eliminates the MAP-21 Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) and replaces it with a set-aside of Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) program funding for Transportation Alternatives (TA). These set-aside funds include all projects and activities that were previously eligible under TAP, encompassing a variety of smaller-scale

transportation projects such as pedestrian and bicycle facilities, recreational trails, safe routes to school projects, community improvements such as historic preservation and vegetation management, and environmental mitigation related to storm water and habitat connectivity. It is important to note that some types of projects eligible under the SAFETEA-LU program Transportation Enhancements are no longer eligible, or have modified eligibility, under the TAP. All projects completed using TAP funds should be verified to ensure compatibility with TAP eligibility.

Federal Lands and Tribal Transportation Programs (FLHP) – The Federal Lands Transportation Program and Federal Lands Access Program provide funding for projects that improve access within, and to, federal lands. Federal Lands Access Program funding will be distributed through a grant process where a group of FHWA, Iowa DOT, and local government representatives will solicit, rank, and select projects to receive funding. The Tribal Transportation Program continues the Indian Reservation Road program and will distribute funds based on formula comprised of tribal population, road mileage, and average funding under SAFETEA-LU.

STATE FUNDING PROGRAMS

In addition to the distribution of Federal-aid formula funds, the Iowa Department of Transportation administers several grant programs through application processes that need to be documented in the TIP. They include the following:

City Bridge Program- portion of STBG funding dedicated to local bridge projects is set aside for the funding of bridge projects within cities. Eligible projects need to be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Projects are rated and prioritized by the Office of Local Systems with awards based upon criteria identified in the application processes. Projects awarded grant funding are subject to a federal-aid obligation limitation of \$1 million. Iowa has implemented a Swap program that allows cities, at their discretion, to swap federal STBG funding for state Primary Road fund dollars.

Highway Safety Improvement Program – Secondary (HSIP-Secondary). This program is funded using a portion of Iowa’s Highway Safety Improvement Program apportionment and funds safety projects on rural roadways. Funding targeted towards these local projects is eligible to be swapped for Primary Road Fund dollars.

Iowa Clean Air Attainment Program (ICAAP)- The ICAAP funds projects that are intended to maximize emission reductions through traffic flow improvements, reduced vehicle-miles of travel, and reduced single-occupancy vehicle trips. This program utilizes \$4 million of Iowa's CMAQ apportionment. Funding targeted towards these local projects is eligible to be swapped for Primary Road Fund dollars.

Recreational Trail Program - This program provides federal funding for both motorized and nonmotorized trail projects and is funded through a takedown from Iowa's TAP funding. The decision to participate in this program is made annually by the Iowa Transportation Commission. For more information on the Recreations Trail Program.

Iowa's Transportation Alternatives Program -This program targets STBG funding to MPOs and RPAs to award to locally sponsored projects that expand travel choices and improve the motorized and non-motorized transportation experience.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION FUNDING SOURCE

ANNUAL ALLOCATION FEDERAL PROGRAMS

Clinton MTA and RTA 8 receives FTA Section 5311 FTA Section 5311- Nonurbanized Area Formula Grant Program from Transit Administration (FTA) IADOT annually.

FTA Section 5311- Nonurbanized Area Formula Grant Program: Provides FTA funding (through the States) for rural and small urban transit and paratransit assistance, capital improvements, and operating assistance. These funds are distributed to transit authorities and nonurbanized areas. Clinton MTA and RTA 8 matches these funds through local funds and statewide transit assistance (STA).

CLINTON MTA & RTA 8 TRANSIT FTA Funds Status

Section 5311/STA funds for Clinton MTA & RTA 8	Programmed Amounts							
	FFY 19		FFY 20		FFY 21		FFY 22	
	Total	Fed Aid						
Clinton MTA	\$ 2,070,956	\$ 626,716	\$ 2,070,956	\$ 626,716	\$ 2,070,956	\$ 626,716	\$ 2,070,956	\$ 626,716
RTA 8	\$ 641,542	\$ 323,420	\$ 641,542	\$ 323,420	\$ 641,542	\$ 323,420	\$ 641,542	\$ 323,420
Total	\$2,712,498	\$950,136	\$2,712,498	\$950,136	\$2,712,498	\$950,136	\$2,712,498	\$950,136

Source: RPA 8

FEDERAL PROGRAMS

Section 5339. Bus and Bus Facilities Formula Grants - These funds can finance capital projects to replace, rehabilitate, and purchase buses and related equipment and to construct bus-related facilities. In Iowa, approximately \$1,250,000 is received annually to be spent in small urban (less than 50,000 population) and regional transit systems and receives individual allocations for each large urban transit system serving populations between 50,000 and 200,000. The large urban funds are pooled since individual allocations would not allow for bus purchases on an annual basis. All funds are spent on vehicle replacements rather than on expansion vehicles or bus-related facilities and are distributed utilizing the vehicle rankings of the Public Transit Management System (PTMS). Transit systems serving populations of more than 200,000 receive direct allocations from the Federal Transit Administration and are not included in the statewide distribution through PTMS.

State Transit Assistance - Iowa devotes an amount equal to 4 percent of the fees for new registration collected on sales of motor vehicle and accessory equipment to support public transportation. Most of this money is distributed by the STA formula that is based on each transit system's performance during the previous year in terms of rides, miles, and local funding support. These formula funds are usable for support of any operating, capital, or planning expenses related to the provision of public passenger transportation.

STA special projects - Each year up to \$300,000 of the total STA funds are set aside to fund special projects. These can include grants to individual systems to support transit services that are developed in conjunction with human services agencies. Grants can also be awarded to statewide projects that improve public transit in Iowa through such means as technical training for transit system or planning

agency personnel, statewide marketing campaigns, etc. This funding is also used to mirror the Rural Transit Assistance Program to support individual transit training fellowships for large urban transit staff or planners.

STA coordination special projects - Funds provide assistance with startup of new services that have been identified as needs by health, employment, or human services agencies participating in the passenger transportation planning process.

Public Transit Infrastructure Grant - This program is funded annually by the state legislature to fund some of the vertical infrastructure needs of Iowa's transit systems. Projects can involve new construction, reconstruction, or remodeling, but must include a vertical component to qualify. Projects are evaluated based on the anticipated benefits to transit, as well as the ability to have projects completed quickly. The infrastructure program participation in the cost of transit-related elements of a facility project is limited to 80 percent and cannot, in combination with federal funding, exceed that number. No single system can receive more than 40 percent of the available infrastructure funding in a given year.

Public Transit Equipment and Facilities Management System (PTMS) is one of the seven management systems that were mandated under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA). The PTMS committee was formed by Iowa DOT in conjunction with the Iowa Public Transit Association (IPTA), who had expressed interest in developing a "more objective" method of selecting projects to be funded out of statewide earmarked funds. The PTMS Committee developed and recommended the current PTMS prioritization process and associated policies, which were, in turn, endorsed by the transit association and adopted by the Iowa DOT.

In order to be considered under PTMS, capital projects must be programmed for Section 5339 funding in the current year of the approved STIP.

Overall Program Funding

The tables below provide the program of funds by year in each funding category.

YEAR	FUNDING	HSIP	NHPP	PRF	STBG	STBG-TAP	SWAP-HBP	SWAP-HSIP	SWAP-STBG
FFY 2019	Total	\$ 11,558	\$ 2,753	\$ 4,334	\$ 3,530	\$ 1,371	\$ 4,100	\$ -	\$ 6,086
	FA	\$ 10,073	\$ 1,964	\$ -	\$ 2,772	\$ 450	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Rgnl	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52	\$ 450	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,924
	SWAP	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52	\$ -	\$ 2,300	\$ -	\$ 5,798
FFY 2020	Total	\$ 250	\$ 9,103	\$ 80	\$ 65	\$ 154	\$ 2,075	\$ 377	\$ 6,809
	FA	\$ -	\$ 7,111	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 123	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Rgnl	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52	\$ 123	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,505
	SWAP	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52	\$ -	\$ 1,200	\$ 377	\$ 5,805
FFY 2021	Total	\$ -	\$ 9,582	\$ 1,476	\$ 65	\$ -	\$ 2,400	\$ -	\$ 1,805
	FA	\$ -	\$ 7,466	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Rgnl	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,728
	SWAP	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52	\$ -	\$ 1,100	\$ -	\$ 1,728
FFY 2022	Total	\$ -	\$ 250	\$ 2,000	\$ 65	\$ -	\$ 2,250	\$ -	\$ 5,006
	FA	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Rgnl	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,006
	SWAP	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 52	\$ -	\$ 300	\$ -	\$ 5,006

RPA 8 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Transportation Projects for FFY 2019 - 2022

REGIONAL PLANNING AFFILIATION 8 (FY 2019-2022 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM)						Final 26th of July 2018					
FY 2019 (October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019)				IOWA		Programmed Amounts in 1,000					
NO	CO#	PGM	SPONSOR	TPMS/STIP NO.	PN/LOCATION/TYPER WORK	FFY 19	FFY 20	FFY 21	FFY 22	TOTAL	
1	31	HSIP	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>38058</u>	<u>HSIPX--30--3L-31</u> IA 3: TOLLGATE RD (CO RD Y13) TO E JCT PFEILER RD Grade and Pave,Erosion Control,Lighting	<u>Total</u>	9,758	250	--	--	10,008
						FA	8,548	--	--	--	8,548
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
DOT NOTE: HSIP funds capped at \$6,680,000 as agreed upon between FHWA and Iowa DOT											
2	31	HSIP	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>38257</u>	<u>HSIP-1360--3L-31</u> IA 136: NCL WORTHINGTON TO 15TH AVE SE IN DYERSVILLE Pavement Rehab	<u>Total</u>	1,800	--	--	--	1,800
						FA	1,525	--	--	--	1,525
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
DOT NOTE: Joint HSIP and 3R Project											
3	23	NHPP	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>38223</u>	<u>NHSX--300--3H-23</u> US 30: CO RD Y62 0.95 MI W OF US 61 Grade and Pave,Right of Way	<u>Total</u>	2,453	--	--	--	2,453
						FA	1,964	--	--	--	1,964
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
DOT NOTE: Project includes repurposed earmark funds (IA036) of \$277,285.68.											
4	31	NHPP	DOT-D06-RPA08	-	<u>NHSX--30--3H-31</u> IA 3: ECL OF LUXEMBURG TO TOLLGATE RD (CO RD Y13) Grade and Pave,Erosion Control,Right of Way	<u>Total</u>	300	8,888	250	--	9,438
						FA	--	7,111	--	--	7,111
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--

5	23	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>38277</u>	<u>STPN--136()--2J-23</u>	Total	1,923	--	--	--	1,923
					IA 136: 2.4 MI W OF US 67 TO CHARLOTTE	FA	--	--	--	--	--
				--	Culvert Replacement	Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
6	49	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>38280</u>	<u>STPN--52()--2J-49</u>	Total	860	--	--	--	860
					US 52: MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO US 67	FA	--	--	--	--	--
				--	Pavement Rehab	Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
7	31	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>38187</u>	<u>NHSN--30--2R-31</u>	Total	68	--	--	--	68
					IA 3: 1.0 MI NW OF DURANGO	FA	--	--	--	--	--
				--	Revetment	Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
8	49	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>37952</u>	<u>BRFN--52()--39-49</u>	Total	20	20	20	20	80
					US 52: MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN SABULA (STATE SHARE)	FA	--	--	--	--	--
				--	Miscellaneous	Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
9	23	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>37914</u>	<u>BRFN--30()--39-23</u>	Total	1,003	--	--	--	1,003
					US 30: S 6TH AVE 1.5 MI E OF S JCT US 61 (EB & WB)	FA	--	--	--	--	--
				--	Bridge Deck Overlay	Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--

10	23	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>37915</u>	<u>BREN--30()--39-23</u>	<u>Total</u>	420	20	20	20	480
					US 30: MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN CLINTON (STATE SHARE)	FA	--	--	--	--	--
				--	Bridge Rehabilitation,Miscellaneous	Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
11	23	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>37917</u>	<u>BREN--136()--39-23</u>	<u>Total</u>	40	40	40	40	160
					IA 136: MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN CLINTON (STATE SHARE)	FA	--	--	--	--	--
				--	Miscellaneous	Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
12	31	STBG	RPA-08	<u>478</u>	<u>RGPL-PA08(RTP)--ST-00</u>	<u>Total</u>	65	65	65	65	260
					Federalized	FA	52	52	52	52	208
				--	On ECIA Transportation Planning	Rgnl	52	52	52	52	208
					Trans Planning	SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
SPONSOR NOTE:											
13	49	STBG	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>38262</u>	<u>STP--52()--2C-49</u>	<u>Total</u>	3,465	--	--	--	3,465
					US 52: HIGH ST IN BELLEVUE TO DUBUQUE CO	FA	2,772	--	--	--	2,772
				--	Pavement Rehab	Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
14	49	STBG-TAP	Jackson CCB	<u>37365</u>	<u>TAP-R-C049(75)--8T-49</u>	<u>Total</u>	149	--	--	--	149
			DOT:		On Hurstville Trail Phase 3, from Hurstville Interpretive Center to Hurstville Road	FA	100	--	--	--	100
			2/19/2019	--	Ped/Bike Grade & Pave	Rgnl	100	--	--	--	100
					SWAP	--	--	--	--	--	

15	49	STBG-TAP	Jackson CCB	<u>37368</u>	<u>TAP-R-C049)--8T-49</u> On Rivervue Trail, from From Intersection of 395th Ave and existing trail to Spruce Creek Campground	<u>Total</u>	490	--	--	--	490
				--	Ped/Bike Grade & Pave	FA	100	--	--	--	100
						Rgnl	100	--	--	--	100
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
16	31	STBG-TAP	Dyersville	<u>38339</u>	<u>TAP-R-2160(616)--8T-31</u> In the city of Dyersville, On Dyersville Park connection , from Westside Park to Arbor St Dr	<u>Total</u>	732	--	--	--	732
			DOT:	--	Grade and Pave,Bridge Deck Overlay	FA	250	--	--	--	250
			2/19/2019			Rgnl	250	--	--	--	250
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
17	23	SWAP-HBP	Clinton CRD	<u>23557</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C023)--SE-23</u> [NBIS: 122561] 340th Ave: Over creek	<u>Total</u>	400	--	--	--	400
				--	Bridge Replacement	FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	400	--	--	--	400
18	28	SWAP-HBP	Delaware CRD	<u>23527</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C028)--SE-28</u> [NBIS: 140840] Pioneer Rd: Hwy 38 W 0.25 MI	<u>Total</u>	350	--	--	--	350
				--	Bridge Replacement	FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	350	--	--	--	350
19	31	SWAP-HBP	Dubuque CRD	<u>37078</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C031(92)--SE-31</u> On Buncombe Road	<u>Total</u>	300	--	--	--	300
			DOT:	--	Bridge Replacement	FA	--	--	--	--	--
			12/18/2018			Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	300	--	--	--	300

20	31	SWAP- HBP	Dubuque CRD	<u>37080</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C031(93)--SE-31</u>	Total	600	--	--	--	600	
			DOT:			On Bierman Road	FA	--	--	--	--	
			12/18/2018			--	Bridge Replacement	Rgnl	--	--	--	--
							SWAP	600	--	--	--	600
21	31	SWAP- HBP	Dubuque CRD	<u>37084</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C031()--SE-31</u>	Total	500	--	--	--	500	
			DOT:			On Christoph Road, in SW S19 T89N R2W	FA	--	--	--	--	
						--	Bridge Replacement	Rgnl	--	--	--	--
							SWAP	500	--	--	--	500
22	31	SWAP- HBP	Dubuque CRD	<u>37300</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C031(94)--SE-31</u>	Total	300	--	--	--	300	
			DOT:			On Arendsdorf Road, in SW S25 T87N R2E	FA	--	--	--	--	
			12/18/2018			--	Bridge Replacement	Rgnl	--	--	--	--
							SWAP	300	--	--	--	300
23	31	SWAP- HBP	Dubuque CRD	<u>37301</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C031(95)--FE-31</u>	Total	600	--	--	--	600	
			DOT:			On Olde Worthington Road Bridges, in SE S17 T88N R2W	FA	--	--	--	--	
			1/16/2019			--	Bridge Replacement	Rgnl	--	--	--	--
							SWAP	600	--	--	--	600
24	49	SWAP- HBP	Jackson CRD	<u>20486</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C049(72)--FE-49</u>	Total	600	--	--	--	600	
			DOT:			[NBIS: 192330] On 35th St Over Prairie Creek	FA	--	--	--	--	
			1/16/2019			--	Bridge Replacement	Rgnl	--	--	--	--
							SWAP	600	--	--	--	600

25	49	SWAP- HBP	Jackson CRD	<u>36540</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C049(73)--SE-49</u> [NBIS: 193540] On 297th Avenue, S24 T85 R3 PE2488	<u>Total</u>	450	--	--	--	450
			DOT:			FA	--	--	--	--	
			1/16/2019			--	Rgnl	--	--	--	--
						Bridge Replacement	SWAP	450	--	--	450
26	31	SWAP- STBG	Dubuque CRD	<u>35684</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-C031(96)--FG-31</u> TA On Tollgate Rd, from HWY 52 to Clayton County Line	<u>Total</u>	1,750	--	--	--	1,750
			DOT:			FA	--	--	--	--	
			2/19/2019			--	Rgnl	1,750	--	--	1,750
						Pavement Rehab	SWAP	1,750	--	--	1,750
27	31	SWAP- STBG	Dubuque CRD	<u>35685</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-C031(97)--FG-31</u> TA On Ridge rd, from Tollgate rd to N Buena Vista rd	<u>Total</u>	1,750	--	--	--	1,750
			DOT:			FA	--	--	--	--	
			2/19/2019			--	Rgnl	1,750	--	--	1,750
						Pavement Rehab	SWAP	1,750	--	--	1,750
28	23	SWAP- STBG	Clinton CRD	<u>32437</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-C0230--FG-23</u> On Z34, from Jackson County Line to City of Goose Lake, S5 T83 RE5	<u>Total</u>	1,850	--	--	--	1,850
						FA	--	--	--	--	
			--			Pavement Rehab	Rgnl	1,400	--	--	1,400
						SWAP	1,774	--	--	1,774	

29	28	SWAP-STBG	Dyersville	<u>22304</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-2160(615)--SG-28</u>	Total	350	--	--	--	350
			DOT:		In the City of Dyersville, X49 and 1st Avenue West: Intersection of X49 and 1st Avenue West	FA	--	--	--	--	--
			1/16/2019	--	Pavement Rehab	Rgnl	264	--	--	--	264
						SWAP	264	--	--	--	264
30	28	SWAP-STBG	Dyersville	<u>29670</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-2160)--SG-28</u>	Total	386	--	--	--	386
					In the city of Dyersville, On 1st Avenue West Bridge Deck Overlay, Over Bear Creek, from X49/332nd Ave to Beltline Rd	FA	--	--	--	--	--
				--	Bridge Deck Overlay	Rgnl	260	--	--	--	260
						SWAP	260	--	--	--	260
31	31	NHPP	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>38255</u>	<u>NHSX--30--3H-31</u>	Total	--	215	9,332	250	9,797
					IA 3: E JCT PFEILER RD TO 0.7 MI N OF BOY SCOUT RD	FA	--	--	7,466	--	7,466
				--	Grade and Pave,Erosion Control,Right of Way	Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
32	23	STBG-TAP	Grand Mound	<u>38341</u>	<u>TAP-R-3032)--8T-23</u>	Total	--	154	--	--	154
					In the city of Grand Mound, Grand Mound Ball Park Recreational trail, from City Hall to Destinations within the Park	FA	--	123	--	--	123
				--	Ped/Bike Grade & Pave	Rgnl	--	123	--	--	123
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--

PA NOTE: The RPA 8 approved \$122,655 in TAP funds for this project.

33	23	SWAP-HBP	Clinton CRD	<u>36143</u>	<u>BHS-SWAP-C0230--FC-23</u> [NBIS: 121530] On Y52, Over DRAINAGE DITCH 5, S1 T80 RE2 Bridge Deck Overlay	<u>Total</u>	--	200	--	--	200
			FA			--	--	--	--	--	
			Rgnl			--	--	--	--	--	
			SWAP			--	200	--	--	200	
SPONSOR NOTE: bridge deck overlay											
34	31	SWAP-HBP	Dubuque CRD	<u>37088</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C0310--FE-31</u> On Simon Road, in NE S26 T87N R1W Bridge Replacement	<u>Total</u>	--	600	--	--	600
			FA			--	--	--	--		
			Rgnl			--	--	--	--		
			SWAP			--	600	--	--	600	
35	49	SWAP-HBP	Jackson CRD	<u>32808</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C049(66)--FE-49</u> [NBIS: 194501] Bellevue bridge Replacement BEL 0626 Bridge Replacement	<u>Total</u>	--	300	--	--	300
			DOT: 1/22/2020			--	--	--	--		
			FA			--	--	--	--		
			Rgnl			--	--	--	--		
SWAP	--	300	--	--	300						
36	49	SWAP-HBP	Jackson CRD	<u>35331</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C0490--FE-49</u> [NBIS: 194821] On Highbridge Rd, Over unnamed creek, from bridge TDM 0405 to bridge, S4 T87 R4 Bridge Replacement	<u>Total</u>	--	375	--	--	375
			FA			--	--	--	--		
			Rgnl			--	--	--	--		
			SWAP			--	375	--	--	375	

37	31	SWAP- HBP	Dubuque CRD	<u>34746</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C031()--FE-31</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	600	--	--	600
					On Gun Club Road, in SE S35 T89N R1W	FA	--	--	--	--	--
				--	Bridge Replacement	Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	600	--	--	600
38	23	SWAP- HSIP	Clinton CRD	<u>37611</u>	<u>HSIP-SWAP-C023()--FJ-23</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	377	--	--	377
					On Y-68, from DeWitt city limits 1.98 Miles to County Line	FA	--	--	--	--	--
				--	Pave	Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	377	--	--	377
SPONSOR NOTE: HSIP project pave shoulders and add centerline and edge line rumbles											
39	23	SWAP- STBG	De Witt	<u>35686</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-1855()--SG-23</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	468	--	--	468
					In the city of De Witt, On S 6th Ave, from S 5th St to City Limits	FA	--	--	--	--	--
				--	Pavement Rehab	Rgnl	--	468	--	--	468
						SWAP	--	468	--	--	468
PA NOTE: The amount of dollar amounts approved in STBG-Swap by RPA 8 is \$468,297											
40	23	SWAP- STBG	Clinton CRD	<u>35150</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-C023(xx)--FG-23</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	1,600	--	--	1,600
					On Z30, from Wapsipinicon River to State Highway 67, S8 T80 RE5	FA	--	--	--	--	--
				--	Pavement Rehab	Rgnl	--	1,100	--	--	1,100
						SWAP	--	1,400	--	--	1,400

41	28	SWAP- STBG	Manchester	<u>35683</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-4682()--SG-28</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	1,000	--	--	1,000
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	800	--	--	800
						SWAP	--	800	--	--	800

SPONSOR NOTE: This will be a resurfacing project with the installation of a 12" water main from River Street to North Franklin Street (along Highway 13). The traveled portion of the road and parking areas will be milled and resurfaced throughout the project. Sidewalks ramps will be replaced at the intersections along the route.

42	49	SWAP- STBG	Maquoketa	<u>35632</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-4742()--SG-49</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	723	--	--	723
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	723	--	--	723
						SWAP	--	723	--	--	723

43	28	SWAP- STBG	Delaware CRD	<u>26843</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-C028()--FG-28</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	3,018	--	--	3,018
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	2,414	--	--	2,414
						SWAP	--	2,414	--	--	2,414

PA NOTE: The RPA 8 approved \$2,413,750 in STBG-Swap funds for this project.

44	28	PRF	DOT-D06- RPA08	<u>37921</u>	<u>BRFN--20()--39-28</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	--	388	--	388
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--

45	28	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>37922</u>	<u>BRFN--38()--39-28</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	--	233	--	233
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
IA 38: ALLISON CREEK 0.3 MI S OF CO RD X35											
Bridge Deck Overlay											
46	49	PRF	DOT-D06-RPA08	<u>37954</u>	<u>BRFN--62()--39-49</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	--	775	--	775
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
IA 62: MAQUOKETA RIVER 1.1 MI E OF IA 64											
Bridge Deck Overlay											
47	49	SWAP-HBP	Jackson CRD	<u>37745</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C049()--SE-49</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	--	450	--	450
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	450	--	450
[NBIS: 194690] On 400th Street, Over Lux Creek, S2 T87N R3E											
Bridge Replacement											
48	28	SWAP-HBP	Delaware CRD	<u>26844</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C028()--SE-28</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	--	500	--	500
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	500	--	500
On 285th Street , from 110th Ave East 0.2 Miles, S5 T87 R6											
Bridge Replacement											
49	31	SWAP-HBP	Dubuque CRD	<u>37106</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C031()--SE-31</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	--	300	--	300
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	300	--	300
On Fishpond Road, in SW S34 T89N R1W											
Bridge Replacement											

50	49	SWAP- HBP	Jackson CRD	<u>35332</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C049()</u>--FE-49 [NBIS: 193910] On 475th Avenue, Over Unnamed creek, S35 T86 R5 Bridge Replacement	<u>Total</u>	--	--	350	--	350
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	350	--	350
51	31	SWAP- HBP	Dubuque CRD	<u>37302</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C031()</u>--FE-31 On Clear Creek Road, in W1/4 S14 T90N R2W Bridge Replacement	<u>Total</u>	--	--	300	--	300
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	300	--	300
52	23	SWAP- HBP	Clinton CRD	<u>35155</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C023(xx)</u>--FE-23 [NBIS: 122210] On F21, Over BARBER CREEK, S32 T81 RE3 Bridge Replacement	<u>Total</u>	--	--	500	--	500
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	500	--	500
53	23	SWAP- STBG	Camanche	<u>36932</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-1040()</u>--SG-23 In the city of Camanche, On 9th Avenue, from Railroad to Anamosa Rd Pavement Rehab	<u>Total</u>	--	--	380	--	380
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	303	--	303
						SWAP	--	--	303	--	303

54	49	SWAP- STBG	Jackson CRD	<u>32792</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-C0490--FG-49</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	--	975	--	975
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	975	--	975
						SWAP	--	--	975	--	975
55	49	SWAP- STBG	Jackson CRD	<u>37744</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-C0490--FG-49</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	--	450	--	450
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	450	--	450
						SWAP	--	--	450	--	450
56	23	PRF	DOT-D06- RPA08	<u>37916</u>	<u>BRFN--300--39-23</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	--	--	1,320	1,320
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--
57	49	PRF	DOT-D06- RPA08	<u>37953</u>	<u>BRFN--520--39-49</u>	<u>Total</u>	--	--	--	600	600
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	--	--

58	49	SWAP-HBP	Jackson CRD	<u>36548</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C0490--SE-49</u> [NBIS: 191950] On 49th Street, Over Creek, S18 T84 R1	<u>Total</u>	--	--	--	450	450
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	450	450
				--	Bridge Replacement						
59	49	SWAP-HBP	Jackson CRD	<u>36550</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C0490--FE-49</u> [NBIS: 194230] On 208th Street, S35 T86 R2	<u>Total</u>	--	--	--	300	300
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	300	300
				--	Bridge Replacement						
60	31	SWAP-HBP	Dubuque CRD	<u>37304</u>	<u>BROS-SWAP-C0310--FE-31</u> On Graf Road, in NE S20 T89N R1E	<u>Total</u>	--	--	--	300	300
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	300	300
				--	Bridge Replacement						
61	23	SWAP-HBP	Clinton CRD	<u>36148</u>	<u>BHS-SWAP-C0230--FC-23</u> [NBIS: 121730] On Y4E, Over YANKEE RUN CREEK, S15 T81 RE1	<u>Total</u>	--	--	--	200	200
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	200	200
				--	Bridge Deck Overlay						

SPONSOR NOTE: deck overlay

62	23	SWAP- HBP	Clinton CRD	<u>36188</u>	<u>BHS-SWAP-C0230--FC-23</u> [NBIS: 20870] On Y68, Over WAPSI RIVER OVERFLOW, S31 T81 RE4 Bridge Replacement	<u>Total</u>	--	--	--	1,000	1,000
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	--	--
						SWAP	--	--	--	1,000	1,000
63	23	SWAP- STBG	Clinton	<u>35633</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-14150--SG-23</u> In the city of Clinton, On Manufacturing Drive , from Bluff Blvd to Rail road tracks Pavement Rehab	<u>Total</u>	--	--	--	3,256	3,256
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	3,256	3,256
						SWAP	--	--	--	3,256	3,256
64	31	SWAP- STBG	Dubuque CRD	<u>37309</u>	<u>STBG-SWAP-C0310--FG-31</u> On Higginsport Road Pavement Rehab	<u>Total</u>	--	--	--	1,750	1,750
						FA	--	--	--	--	--
						Rgnl	--	--	--	1,750	1,750
						SWAP	--	--	--	1,750	1,750

RPA 8 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Transit Projects for FFY 2019 - 2022

REGIONAL PLANNING AFFILIATION 8 (FY 2019-2022 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM)					Final 26th of July 2018				
FY 2019 (October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019)			IOWA		Programmed Amounts				
NO	FUNDING	SPONSOR	Project type	DESCRIPTION		FFY 19	FFY 20	FFY 21	FFY 22
1	STA	Region 8 / RTA	Operations	Operations	Total	\$ 666,585	\$ 666,585	\$ 666,585	\$ 666,585
	5311	4406	Other		FA	\$ 325,546	\$ 325,546	\$ 325,546	\$ 325,546
					SA	\$ 341,039	\$ 341,039	\$ 341,039	\$ 341,039
2	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total	\$ 94,500			
		4822	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 75,600			
				Unit #: 006	SA				
3	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total	\$ 94,500			
		4823	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 75,600			
				Unit #: 005	SA				
4	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total	\$ 94,500			
		4824	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 75,600			
				Unit #: 143	SA				
5	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total	\$ 94,500			
		4408	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 75,600			
				Unit #: 114	SA				
6	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total	\$ 94,500			
		4414	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 75,600			
				Unit #: 9142	SA				
7	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Minivan	Total	\$ 55,000			
		4417	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 44,000			
				Unit #: 960	SA				

8	ITS	Region 8 / RTA	Operations	Update 2-way radio system	Total	\$ 82,000		
		4834	Replacement		FA	\$ 65,600		
					SA			
9	ITS	Region 8 / RTA	Operations	Update Tablets	Total	\$ 10,000		
		4836	Replacement		FA	\$ 8,000		
					SA			
10	PTIG	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Bus Facility	Total	\$ 500,000		
		4779	Expansion		FA			
					SA	\$ 400,000		
11	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total	\$ 94,500		
		4415	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 75,600		
				Unit #: 9144	SA			
12	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total	\$ 94,500		
		3502	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 75,600		
				Unit #: 9143	SA			
13	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total	\$ 94,500		
		4409	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 75,600		
				Unit #: 4484	SA			
14	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total	\$ 94,500		
		4411	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 75,600		
				Unit #: 113	SA			
15	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Minivan	Total	\$ 55,000		
		4825	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 46,750		
				Unit #: 595	SA			

16	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total		\$ 94,500	
		3268	Replacement	VSS	FA		\$ 75,600	
				Unit #: 998	SA			
17	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total		\$ 94,500	
		3269	Replacement	VSS	FA		\$ 75,600	
				Unit #: 440	SA			
18	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total		\$ 94,500	
		3270	Replacement	VSS	FA		\$ 75,600	
				Unit #: 999	SA			
19	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total		\$ 94,500	
		3271	Replacement	VSS	FA		\$ 75,600	
				Unit #: 348	SA			
20	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total		\$ 94,500	
		3272	Replacement	VSS	FA		\$ 75,600	
				Unit #: 4483	SA			
21	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total		\$ 94,500	
		3274	Replacement	VSS	FA		\$ 75,600	
				Unit #: 485	SA			
22	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total		\$ 94,500	
		3275	Replacement	VSS	FA		\$ 75,600	
				Unit #: 486	SA			
23	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total			\$ 96,500
		4830	Replacement	VSS	FA			\$ 82,025
				Unit #: 302	SA			
24	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total			\$ 96,500
		4831	Replacement	VSS	FA			\$ 82,025
				Unit #: 303	SA			

25	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total				\$ 96,500
		4832	Replacement	VSS	FA				\$ 82,025
				Unit #: 316	SA				
26	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total				\$ 94,500
		4007	Replacement	VSS	FA				\$ 75,600
				Unit #: 983	SA				
27	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total				\$ 94,500
		4008	Replacement	VSS	FA				\$ 75,600
				Unit #: 984	SA				
28	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Minivan	Total				\$ 55,000
		4826	Replacement	VSS	FA				\$ 46,750
				Unit #: 773	SA				
29	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Minivan	Total				\$ 55,000
		4827	Replacement	VSS	FA				\$ 46,750
				Unit #: 328	SA				
30	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Minivan	Total				\$ 55,000
		4828	Replacement	VSS	FA				\$ 46,750
				Unit #: 684	SA				
31	5339	Region 8 / RTA	Capital	Minivan	Total				\$ 55,000
		4829	Replacement	VSS	FA				\$ 46,750
				Unit #: 607	SA				

REGIONAL PLANNING AFFILIATION 8 (FY 2019-2022 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM)					Final 26th of July 2018				
FY 2019 (October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019)			IOWA		Programmed Amounts				
NO	FUNDING	SPONSOR	Project type	DESCRIPTION		FFY 19	FFY 20	FFY 21	FFY 22
1	STA	Clinton	Operations	Operations	Total	\$ 2,136,694			
	5311	5085	Other		FA	\$ 663,118			
					SA	\$ 248,251			
2	5311	Clinton	Capital	Heavy Duty Bus (40-42 ft.)	Total	\$ 493,300			
		5026	Replacement	Diesel, UFRC, VSS, Low Floor, BioDiesel	FA	\$ 419,305			
				Unit #: 0143	SA				
3	5311	Clinton	Capital	Light Duty Bus (158" wb)	Total	\$ 91,100			
		5027	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 77,435			
				Unit #: 1256	SA				
4	5311	Clinton	Capital	Light Duty Bus (158" wb)	Total	\$ 91,100			
		5083	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 77,435			
				Unit #: 1152	SA				
5	5311	Clinton	Capital	Light Duty Bus (176" wb)	Total	\$ 96,500			
		5084	Replacement	VSS	FA	\$ 82,025			
				Unit #: 1255	SA				

RPA 8 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROGRAM

Accommodation:

Meetings, public hearings, and ECIA formal events are held in facilities that are accessible by persons with disabilities. **Public notices of ECIA meetings and events include a notice of location for public. Individuals with disabilities will be provided with accommodations to attend the meetings on request with a minimum of one weeks notice. Individuals requiring special material or presentation formats will be asked to contact the staff at least one week before the meeting.**

Revising/Amending an Approved TIP

Revising the TIP

Revisions are defined as changes to a TIP that occur between scheduled periodic updates. There are two types of changes that occur under the umbrella of revision. The first is a major revision or “Amendment”. The second is a minor revision or Administrative Modification”.

Amendment

An amendment is a revision to a TIP that involves a major change to a project included in the TIP. This includes an addition or deletion of a project or a major change in project cost, project/project phase initiation dates, or a major change in design concept or scope (e.g. changing project termini or the number of through lanes). *Changes to projects that are included only for illustrative purposes do not require an amendment.* An amendment is a revision that requires public review and comment, redemonstration of fiscal constraint, or a conformity determination. Changes that affect fiscal constraint must take place by amendment of the TIP.

The TIP Amendment Process will include the following steps:

- **RPA 8 staff will collect the amendment information from the members requesting.**

- RPA 8 staff will inform the public of the amended TIP's availability by sending notice to the organizations on the RPA 8 public participation process list and by publishing legal notices in local newspapers and ECIA website. These notices will be posted and published 4-20 days before the scheduled meeting.
- **The public hearing meeting will be held with the RPA 8 Policy Board meeting and the meeting will be opened for public input during the process.**
- The DOT and FHWA staff will be provided with updated TIP with amendments once approved by RPA 8 Policy and tech boards.
- **The public hearing meeting will be held with the RPA 8 Policy Board meeting and the meeting will be opened for public input during the process.**

Administrative Modification

A minor revision to a TIP is an administrative modification. It includes minor changes/project phase costs, minor changes to funding sources of previously-included projects, and minor changes to project/project phase initiation dates. An administrative modification is a revision that does not require public review and comment or redemonstration of fiscal constraint,

Amendment vs. Administrative Modification

There are four main components that can be used to determine whether a project change constitutes an amendment or an administrative modification. They include the following:

- **Project costs**-Determination will be made based on the percentage change or dollar amount of change in federal aid. Projects in which the federal aid has been changed by more than 30% or total federal aid increases by \$2.0 million or more will require an amendment. Anything less can be processed with an administrative modification.
- **Schedule changes**-Changes in schedules to projects which are included in the first four years of the TIP/STIP will be considered administrative modifications. Projects which are added or deleted from the TIP/STIP will be processed as amendments.

- **Funding sources**-Additional federal funding sources to a project will require an amendment. Changes to funding from one source to another will require an administrative modification.
- **Scope changes**-Changing project termini or changing the amount of through traffic lanes will be processed as an amendment. Other examples of changes that require amendment are changing the type of work from an overlay to reconstruction. Another example is changing a project to include widening of the roadway.

Swap Project Revision Process

The DMATS will make no distinction between amendments and administrative modifications for projects using swapped Primary Road Funds. Swap projects are subject to DMATS project revision processes and all applicable state public meeting requirements.

Redemonstrations of Fiscal Constraint

The Iowa DOT is required to ensure that that federal aid funds programmed in the STIP are fiscally constrained not only at the time of approval but also throughout the fiscal year. As part of the draft STIP process the DOT adjusts its federal aid participation to utilize all remaining federal funds after local project sponsors have programmed their federal aid projects. Based on this approach, at the time of approval by FHWA and FTA, no additional federal aid funds are available to be added to the STIP and maintain fiscal constraint of the document.

In order to maintain fiscal constraint of the STIP document any revision to a federal aid project in the STIP that adds a new federal aid project or increases a project's STIP limit will require that a corresponding change be made to another programming entry to ensure that the STIP remains fiscally constrained. The federal aid funds moved to make way for the additional programmed federal aid need to be of the same federal aid program type. For example, if additional STBG funds are going to be added to a project the corresponding reduction in federal aid on another project must be STBG funds. This requirement pertains to both administrative modifications and amendments to the STIP and therefore also applies when moving projects up from the out years of the STIP. To facilitate the STIP approval process a programming note should be added to both TPMS entries noting the TPMS number of the other project.

The requirement to ensure fiscal constraint does not apply to accomplishment year projects that have been already programmed at their full federal aid participation rate (typically 80 percent) and whose programming entry is being adjusted based on an updated cost estimate. That would include all projects that have been programmed with an 80/20 or 90/10 split. For those projects, we anticipate that any increases in cost estimates will be balanced out by projects whose authorized federal aid is less than what was programmed.

RESOLUTION
ECIA Regional Planning Affiliation
FFY 2019-2022 Transportation Improvement Program

WHEREAS, the ECIA–RPA Transportation Improvement Program information is developed as a description and justification of transportation improvements proposed for Federal Fiscal years of 2019 through 2021; and,

WHEREAS, the East Central Intergovernmental Association Regional Planning Affiliation has been formed in cooperation with the Iowa Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, and the Federal Transit Administration to jointly plan and program federal and state transportation improvements in the Region; and,

WHEREAS, the 2015 Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act) makes available federal highway and transit funding to make improvements in the State of Iowa and the ECIA–RPA region; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ECIA Regional Planning Affiliation that the FFY 2019–2022 Transportation Improvement Program is hereby approved.

Adopted this on 26th of July 2017.

Don Thiltgen
Chair, RPA Policy Board

Date

ATTEST:

Kelley Deutmeyer
Executive Director, ECIA

Date

Appendix D

General RPA Funding Guide to Transportation Surface Transportation Projects

The Regional Planning Affiliation (RPA) tech Committee oversees the program that provides funds to sponsors of transportation projects that expand travel choices and enhance the transportation experience. This committee reviews, scores, and recommends project applications requesting Surface Transportation Project (STBG) funds. Their recommendations are given to the RPA Policy Committee for approval. The committee consists of a rotating balance of local government and public works officials in the region.

The RPA Tech committee follows the rules and regulations pertaining to the program as set forth in the Federal Highway Administration. However, some additional restrictions have been placed to make the program more efficient and maximize the federal dollars used for construction activities.

Project Obligation:

The project should be obligated within two Federal Fiscal years which includes the Federal Fiscal year that the funding got programmed in RPA 8 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The Federal Fiscal year starts on October 1st of the current calendar year and goes until September 30th of the next calendar year. If funds have not been obligated at the end of the two year time period the funds will be returned to RPA 8.

FHWA Authorization of Construction Costs

As part of the letting process, the Iowa DOT obtains FHWA Authorization for the costs of the proposed construction contract. FHWA Authorization will be requested based on the plans, specifications, and estimate (PS&E) submitted by the Local Public Agency (LPA). If the bids come in significantly higher or lower than the estimate, the FHWA Authorization may be adjusted accordingly, provided that sufficient Federal funds are available for the project. The Iowa DOT

requires that the LPA budget sufficient funds and be prepared to award a contract for bids that are up to 110% of the LPA's estimate.

Please see below for FEDERAL AID PROJECT DEVELOPMENT GUIDE

http://www.iowadot.gov/local_systems/publications/im/guide.pdf

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM EVALUATION CRITERIA

1. Economic Vitality: 275 Points (27.5%)
 4. System Preservation: 200 Points (20%)
 2. Safety: 200 Points (20%)
 3. Accessibility and Mobility: 125 Points (12.5%)
 5. Integration and Connectivity: 75 Points (7.5%)
 6. Local and Regional Factors: 125 Points (12.5%)
- TOTAL POINTS AVAILABLE: 1000 (100%)

The process for project prioritization and ratings will be the following:

1. Projects are submitted to RPA 8, with all required information no later than final submittal date set by RPA 8, December 3, 2010 by 5:00 PM.
2. Applications will be compiled for the Subcommittee for STBG funding distribution. The Subcommittee will then meet and rank the projects based on the evaluation criteria adopted by the Board. The subcommittee will submit the list to RPA 8 Tech and Policy Boards.
3. The Tech Board will provide their input to Policy Board. The Policy Board will review the ranking list and associated documentation and prepare a draft Transportation Improvement Program for inclusion into the Iowa State Transportation Improvement Program.

Please refer to the Application Guide for additional information on scoring criteria.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

This section will provide information specifically for the competitive rating section of the Region 8 STBG Application. The information is ordered by rating criteria developed from the MAP-21 planning factors that are meant to guide federal-aid projects funded by MAP-21 legislation.

The Transportation Advisory Committee will rank the projects according to subjective and objective scoring factors. All scores will be sent to the Policy Board for review and TIP construction.

ECONOMIC VITALITY

275 Total Points Available

The Economic Vitality section of the application is designed to measure the economic impact that a proposed project will have locally and regionally. All of the rating in this section is subjective. Members of the Transportation Advisory Committee will rate the effects that the proposed project will have on the rating criteria based on the merits of the project. Below illustrates how the 275 points are distributed for each project:

75 Points - Project promotes general economic development.

40 Points - Project specifically enhances or improves tourism.

40 Points - Project specifically improves or enhances movement of freight and services.

40 Points - Project improves or enhances movement of workers.

40 Points - Project improves access to jobs and business opportunities.

40 Points - Project improves access to other transportation facilities including air, water, rail, multimodal, etc.

SYSTEM PRESERVATION

200 Total Points Available

Points are awarded based on current surface type, current pavement condition, current AADT, and future AADT. The information for each of the previously mentioned categories is plugged into a formula and the point value is determined by where the formula solution fits into the points range. Below is an example of how the system preservation formula may be applied to a proposed project:

- 1) Surface Type: Portland Concrete 1
- 2) Facility Condition: 2
- 3) Existing AADT: 5,800
- 4) 10-year projected AADT: 6,400

Formula 1: $[(\text{Existing AADT} + 10 \text{ Year AADT})/1000/2]$

Formula 2: $[(\text{Formula 1 Answer}/2)*(\text{Surface Type})*(\text{Facility Condition})]$

Formula 1: $[(5,800 + 6,400)/1,000/2] = 6.1$

Formula 2: $[(6.1/2)*(1)*(2)] = 6.1 = \text{Project awarded 52 Points as shown in the table below}$

System Preservation Scoring Criteria

Range	Points	Range	Points
<.50	4	12.51-13.00	104
0.51-1.00	8	13.01-13.50	108
1.01-1.50	12	13.51-14.00	112
1.51-2.00	16	14.01-14.50	116
2.01-2.50	20	14.51-15.00	120
2.51-3.00	24	15.01-15.50	124
3.01-3.50	28	15.51-16.00	128
3.51-4.00	32	16.01-16.50	132
4.01-4.50	36	16.51-17.00	136
4.51-5.00	40	17.01-17.50	140

5.01-5.50	44	17.51-18.00	144
5.51-6.00	48	18.01-18.50	148
6.01-6.50	52	18.51-19.00	152
6.51-7.00	56	19.01-19.50	156
7.01-7.50	60	19.51-20.00	160
7.51-8.00	64	20.01-20.50	164
8.01-8.50	68	20.51-21.00	168
8.51-9.00	72	21.01-21.50	172
9.01-9.50	76	21.51-22.00	176
9.51-10.00	80	22.01-22.50	180
10.01-10.50	84	22.51-23.00	184
10.51-11.00	88	23.01-23.50	188
11.01-11.50	92	23.51-24.00	192
11.51-12.00	96	24.01-24.50	196
12.01-12.50	100	24.51-25.00	200

SAFETY

200 Total Points Available

Safety is designed to measure how accidents on the proposed facility compare with state rates and what proportion of the project cost will go towards safety improvements. The Transportation Advisory Committee will rank projects based on factual numbers supplied by applicants and their corresponding point ranges.

Data used in this section includes accident rates and cost of safety improvements of the proposed project. Applicants will acquire accident data from the previous five years and complete the accident rate calculation located in the application. The end result should be a ratio in units of accidents per hundred million vehicle miles (HMVM) of the calculated rate for the proposed project to the state rate for cities or counties. Points will be awarded based on the accident rate as shown in the table below:

Accident Rate Scoring Criteria

Accident Ratio	Points
<0.49	0
0.50-0.99	20
1.00-1.99	40
2.00-2.99	60
3.00-3.99	80
4.00-<	100

The proportion of the total project cost to cost of safety improvements uses data from the applicant that should specifically describe what parts of the project are for safety improvements. All safety improvements must be located on the approved safety improvement list included at the end of this document and in the STBG Application. The cost for safety improvements should then be divided by the total cost for the safety improvement cost/total cost ratio. Points are awarded based on the proportion of funding put towards making safety improvements as shown below.

Safety Improvement Scoring Criteria

Percent	Points
<15%	0
15-25%	20
25-35%	40
35-45%	60
45-55%	80
55-65%	100

ACCESSIBILITY AND MOBILITY

125 Total Points Available

Accessibility and Mobility is designed to measure how the proposed project will make users of the transportation system more mobile. The Transportation Advisory Committee will rank the projects based on factual numbers supplied by the applicants or RPA 8 and their corresponding point ranges.

RPA 8 will calculate volume/capacity ratios by dividing current and projected traffic volumes by a capacity table developed from the Highway Capacity Manual. This table may be found on the Page 17.

Points will be awarded based on Existing Volume/Capacity Ratio using the scoring criteria in the table below.

Existing Volume/Capacity Ratio Scoring Criteria

Volume/Capacity	Points
0.00-0.062	10
0.063-0.125	15
0.126-0.188	20
0.189-0.251	25
0.252-0.314	30
0.315-0.503	35
0.504-0.629	40
0.630-0.818	45
0.819-0.944	50
0.945-1.070	55
1.071-1.096	65

Points will be awarded for projects in which the volume/capacity ratio is reduced due to the proposed project. Points will be awarded based on the following table.

Volume/Capacity Reduction Scoring Criteria

Volume/Capacity	Points
Ranges Change	
0	0

1	20
2	40
3	60

Volume Capacity Table

TABLE IV-2
CAPACITY BY FACILITY AND AREA TYPE

FACILITY TYPE (Assignment Group)	AREA TYPE				
	1 CBD	2 FRINGE	3 RESIDENTIAL	4 OBD	5 RURAL/OTHER
2					
<u>Divided Arterial/Expressway</u>					
2 lanes	16,400/17,000	16,600/17,600	17,000/18,000	17,000/18,000	15,000/16,000
4 lanes	32,000/34,000	33,200/35,200	34,000/36,000	34,000/36,000	30,000/32,000
6 lanes	49,200/51,000	49,800/52,800	51,000/54,000	51,000/54,000	45,000/48,000
3					
<u>Undivided Arterial</u>					
1 lane	6,300	7,500	7,500	7,500	6,500
2 lanes	12,600	15,000	15,000	15,000	13,000
3 lanes	18,900	22,500	22,500	22,500	19,500
4 lanes	25,200	30,000	30,000	30,000	26,000
5 lanes	31,500	37,500	37,500	37,500	32,500
6 lanes	37,800	45,000	45,000	45,000	39,000
4					
<u>Collector</u>					
1 lane	5,300	6,500	6,500	6,500	5,700
2 lanes	10,600	13,000	13,000	13,000	11,400
3 lanes	15,900	19,500	19,500	19,500	17,100
4 lanes	21,200	26,000	26,000	26,000	22,800
5					
<u>Local</u>					
1 lane	4,800	6,000	6,000	6,000	5,200
2 lanes	9,600	12,000	12,000	12,000	10,400
3 lanes	14,400	18,000	18,000	18,000	15,600
4 lanes	19,200	24,000	24,000	24,000	20,800
1					
<u>Freeway</u>					
	72,000	72,000	72,000	72,000	72,000
6					
<u>Bridge</u>					
2 lanes	I-280	I-74	Arsenal/Cent.	I-80	
4 lanes	-	-	18,000	-	
6 lanes	72,000	-	40,000	68,000	
	-	108,000	-	-	

Note: Capacities used in TRANPLAN model for Quad City Area based on links' lanes, direction and speed.

Key:
 CBD - Central Business District
 Fringe - Surrounding CBD
 OBD - Other Business District

References: National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 187, Quick Response Urban Travel Estimation Techniques and Transferable Parameters User's Guide, and Highway Capacity Manual, Special Report 209. Table approximates LOS D.

INTEGRATION AND CONNECTIVITY

75 Points Total Points Available

Integration and Connectivity is designed to measure what impact the proposed project will have on connecting and integrating the transportation system. The Transportation Advisory Committee will rank projects based on factual numbers and on the committee member's feelings on how the proposed project will impact the transportation system in this category. Below illustrates how the 75 points are distributed for the integration and connectivity category.

75 Points - Project improves connectivity to a road classified as arterial or higher?

75 Points - Project improves connectivity for freight transportation including air, water, rail, and truck?

75 Points - Project integrates multiple modes of transportation including transit, trail, and auto?

LOCAL AND REGIONAL FACTORS

125 Total Points Available

Local and regional factors will evaluate what planning documents the proposed project are consistent with, the amount of local match involved, how the proposed project will impact the transportation system, and if there is more than one sponsor involved.

The adopted planning document could include a long range transportation plan, comprehensive plan, capital improvements plan, or any other local, regional, or state planning document. 25 points will be awarded based on the number of planning documents in which a project conforms with and the significance of the planning document.

Projects will be awarded points based on the amount of local match ratio contributed to the project. Projects that have match ratios in between the ratios listed as point ranges will be grouped in the point range above the actual ratio. For instance, if a proposed project has a federal/local match of 72/28, that

project will be grouped in the 80/20 range. A proposed project that has a federal/local match ratio of 68/32 will be grouped in the 70/30 range.

Local Match Ratio Scoring Criteria

Fed/Local Match	Points
80/20	0
70/30	8.25
60/40	16.75
50/50	25

In addition, points will be awarded based on the following questions:

25 Points - Project will contribute to the local *AND* regional transportation system.

25 Points - Proposed project involves more than one project sponsor.

Appendix E

RPA 8 Transportation Alternative Program Project Scoring Criteria

RPA8 Transportation Alternatives Program Structure

Eligible Activities

The following categories of activities are eligible for funding under the Statewide Transportation Alternatives Program:

- Transportation Alternatives as defined by 23 U.S.C. 101(a)(29),
- Infrastructure-related or non-infrastructure-related projects formerly eligible through the Safe Routes to School program under Section 1404(f) of the SAFETEA-LU, and
- Projects eligible through the Recreational Trails Program under Section 206 of Title 23.

Transportation Alternatives

Eligible projects must meet one or more of these eligibilities and must relate to surface transportation.

1. Construction, planning, and design of on-road and off-road trail facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other nonmotorized forms of transportation, including sidewalks, bicycle infrastructure, pedestrian and bicycle signals, traffic calming techniques, lighting and other safety-related infrastructure, and transportation projects to achieve compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.
2. Construction, planning, and design of infrastructure-related projects and systems that will provide safe routes for non-drivers, including children, older adults, and individuals with disabilities to access daily needs.
3. Conversion and use of abandoned railroad corridors for trails for pedestrians, bicyclists, or other nonmotorized transportation users.
4. Construction of turnouts, overlooks, and viewing areas.
5. Community improvement activities, which include but are not limited to:
 - a. Inventory, control, or removal of outdoor advertising.
 - b. Historic preservation and rehabilitation of historic transportation facilities.

- c. Vegetation management practices in transportation rights-of-way to improve roadway safety, prevent against invasive species, and provide erosion control.
 - d. Archaeological activities relating to impacts from implementation of a transportation project eligible under this title.
 - e. Streetscaping and corridor landscaping.
6. Any environmental mitigation activity, including pollution prevention and pollution abatement activities and mitigation to:
- a. Address stormwater management, control, and water pollution prevention or abatement related to highway construction or due to highway runoff, including activities described in sections 133(b)(11), 328(a), and 329; or
 - b. Reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality or to restore and maintain connectivity among terrestrial or aquatic habitats.

Infrastructure-Related Safe Routes to School Projects

The planning, design, and construction of infrastructure-related projects that will substantially improve the ability of students to walk and bicycle to school, including:

1. Sidewalk improvements,
2. Traffic calming and speed reduction improvements,
3. Pedestrian and bicycle crossing improvements,
4. On-street bicycle facilities,
5. Off-street bicycle and pedestrian facilities,
6. Secure bicycle parking facilities, and
7. Traffic diversion improvements in the vicinity of schools. (section 1404(f)(1)(a))

Non-Infrastructure Related Safe Routes to School Projects

Activities to encourage walking and bicycling to school, including:

1. Public awareness campaigns and outreach to media and community leaders,
2. Traffic education and enforcement in the vicinity of K-8 schools,
3. Student sessions on bicycle and pedestrian safety, health, and environment, and
4. Funding for training, volunteers, and managers of safe routes to school programs. (section 1404(f)(2)(a))

Recreational Trails Program Projects

Eligible Recreational Trails Program projects include:

1. Maintenance and restoration of existing recreational trails;
2. Development and rehabilitation of trailside and trailhead facilities and trail linkages;
3. Purchase and lease of recreational trail construction and maintenance equipment;
4. Construction of new recreational trails (with some restrictions for new trails on Federal lands);
5. Acquisition of easements and fee simple title to property for recreational trails or recreational trail corridors;
6. Assessment of trail conditions for accessibility and maintenance;

7. Development and dissemination of publications and operation of educational programs to promote safety and environmental protection, (as those objectives relate to one or more of the use of recreational trails, supporting non-law enforcement trail safety and trail use monitoring patrol programs, and providing trail-related training), but in an amount not to exceed 5 percent of the apportionment made to the State for the fiscal year; and
8. Payment of costs to the State incurred in administering the program, but in an amount not to exceed 7 percent of the apportionment made to the State for the fiscal year.

Eligible Applicants and Project Sponsors

MAP-21 authorizes the following entities to apply for Transportation Alternatives Program funding:

- Local governments.
- Regional Transportation Authorities.
- Transit Agencies.
- Natural Resource or public lands agencies.
- School Districts, local education agencies or schools.
- Tribal governments.
- Any other local or regional governmental entity with responsibility for oversight of transportation or recreational trails (other than a metropolitan planning organization or a State agency that the State determines to be eligible).
- A non-eligible project sponsor (such as a non-profit) may partner with an eligible co-sponsor in applying for funds.

Eligible Costs

Only certain costs are eligible for reimbursement through the Transportation Alternatives Program. An obligation of funds occurs when a project is approved and a project agreement is executed between the Federal government (FHWA division office) and the Iowa DOT. This is called FHWA Authorization. This does not generally occur until a project has cleared a number of steps in the project development process including the execution of a project agreement between the project sponsor and the Iowa DOT. Although considerable time and money may have already been spent developing a project, the

obligation of funds upon FHWA Authorization marks the beginning of project costs which are eligible for reimbursement. Any design and feasibility studies conducted prior to receipt of a Notice to Proceed from the Iowa DOT are not eligible for reimbursement.

After obligation and FHWA Authorization, many project specific costs are eligible. Preliminary and final engineering work including project development, acquisition of right-of-way, environmental work, cost estimates, construction plans, and architectural work are eligible after approval is granted by the Iowa DOT. Utility relocations as permitted under Iowa Code, construction engineering, and construction costs would also be eligible. Any administrative, maintenance, or general planning studies would not be eligible. Upon award, each project will be assigned a dedicated contact person within the Iowa DOT who will work with the project sponsor through each step of the project development process.

Local Match

Transportation Alternatives Program funds may pay for up to 80 percent of eligible project costs or up to the approved grant maximum, whichever is less. A local match is required to pay for 20 percent or more of the remaining project costs. This match requirement also applies to traditional Safe Routes to School projects. Federal funds cannot be used as matching funds, unless expressly permitted by law. State funds are eligible for use as match. In-kind services or donated services, materials, or real property donated by a third party may also be counted as match under certain circumstances and with Iowa DOT approval.

Application Process

Deadline

Application submittals for each funding round must be postmarked by **the deadline date**, and include an original and four (4) hardcopies of the completed application and all attachments. Email submissions of the completed application by the stated deadline are allowed, but the original and four (4) hardcopies of the completed application must follow by mail.

Required Submittal

A complete application will consist of the following:

1. A completed application form.
2. A narrative assessing existing conditions, outlining the concept of the proposed project, and providing adequate project justification as described in the application form.
3. A detailed map identifying the location of the project.
4. If applicable, a sketch-plan of the project, including cross-section for bicycle or pedestrian facilities.
5. An itemized breakdown of the total project costs.
6. A time schedule for the total project development.
7. An official endorsement of the project from the authority to be responsible for its maintenance and operation according to the requirements included in the application form.
8. If applicable, a letter of support for the project from the scenic or historic byway board.
9. A narrative discussing the public input process that was followed, the extent to which adjacent property owners and others have been informed of the proposed project, and an assessment of their acceptance.

Project Selection

In addition to meeting Transportation Alternatives Program eligibility requirements, projects funded under the Statewide Transportation Alternatives Program are intended to have a statewide or multi-regional significance. As such, applications for projects such as trails that could be considered primarily local impact projects will not be scored favorably.

An assessment of the relative statewide significance of a project may be made by considering the following:

1. Statewide or multi-regional impact of the project,
2. Degree of enhancement of the quality or utility of the state's overall surface transportation system,
3. State or multi-regional tourism benefits, and
4. Degree of statewide or multi-regional planning implemented

Federal Requirements, Standards, or Guidelines

Since the Statewide Transportation Alternatives Program is a part of the Federal-aid highway program, awarded projects are subject to certain Federal laws and regulations including:

1. Involvement of the public, including the adjacent property owners, in the development of the project.
2. Compliance with the Uniform Relocation Property Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act (the Uniform Act) for the acquisition of easements or the purchase of land in fee simple. This includes fair treatment practices and may include the completion of an appraisal on parcels to be acquired. This requirement applies whether or not federal funds will be used for the acquisition costs.
3. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). This requires verification the project is not harmful to the environment in the following areas:
 1. Noise - impacts of noise during and after construction.
 2. Air Quality - compliance with Iowa's state implementation plan for maintaining its attainment status relative to the national ambient air quality standards. Conformity with the requirements of the Clean Air Act must be verified.
 3. Cultural Resources - disturbances to areas of archaeological or historical significance. Properties proposed for rehabilitation or preservation must be eligible for or on the list of the National Register of Historic Places. (Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act)
 4. Water Quality - impacts to water quality.
 5. Wetlands - impacts to wetlands.
 6. Floodplains - impacts to regulatory floodways or to a 110-year floodplain.
 7. Farmland Protection - impacts to surrounding farmland.
 8. Hazardous Waste Sites - location of and impacts to hazardous waste sites.
4. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Projects must conform to the Americans with Disabilities Act, which allows for reasonable access to the project for persons with disabilities.
5. Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) and Minority Business Enterprises (MBE). Verification must be received that efforts have been made to solicit bids from disadvantaged and minority business enterprises.

6. Davis-Bacon Wage Requirements. Projects will be required to comply with Davis-Bacon wage requirements, which state that contractors will conform to federal minimum wage requirements.
7. Competitive bidding requirements. Construction projects are required to be let through the Iowa DOT or according to procedures for a public letting as per Sections 26.3 through 26.13 of the Code of Iowa.
8. Permits or Other Approvals. It is the project owner/sponsor's responsibility to obtain all permits or other approvals that may be required as a result of the activities proposed as part of the project.